Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 168-4 Filed 02/21/20 Page 1 of 19 PageID #: 1479

MDL Privilege Number	Production Beg Bates	Date	Custodian	Author	Recipient	сс	Description	Privilege Claim	PEC's Challenge
	Troudction beg bates	44/4/2045	Weigner 6	Candinal Haalth			Draft Distributor talking points on opioid abuse	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work	Specific author not identified. No recipients identified. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business matter, and not a
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5472 ABDCMDL PRIV 5473			Weissman, G. Weissman, G.	Cardinal Health Cardinal Health			prepared for review by counsel Draft Distributor talking points on opioid abuse prepared for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery ruligs. Specific author not identified. No recipients identified. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery ruligs.
ABDCMDL PRIV 5474			Weissman, G.	Cardinal Health			Draft Distributor talking points on opioid abuse prepared for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Specific author not identified. No recipients identified. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery ruligs.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5475			Weissman, G.	Cardinal Health			Draft Distributor talking points on opioid abuse prepared for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Specific author not identified. No recipients identified. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery ruligs.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5476		11/5/2016	Weissman, G.	Cardinal Health			Draft Distributor talking points on opioid abuse prepared for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Specific author not identified. No recipients identified. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery ruligs.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5477		12/28/2016	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Op-Ed prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5478		12/29/2016	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Op-Ed prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5479		1/5/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Edits to draft W. Va. AG press release re settlement of litigation, prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5480		1/6/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Op-Ed prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5481		1/9/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Distributor talking points on opioid abuse prepared for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5482		1/9/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Distributor talking points on opioid abuse prepared for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5491		8/24/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Op-Ed on distributor role in the supply chain prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5492		8/24/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Op-Ed on distributor role in the supply chain prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5493		9/12/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Op-Ed on distributor role in the supply chain prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5494		9/26/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft messages and talking points for Hill meetings relating to opioids, prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel		No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5498		10/14/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft internal messaging regarding recent media coverage of distributors and the opioid crisis, prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.

Г	T		ı			I	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is
					Draft Op-Ed prepared at the direction of the ABC	Attorney-Client	not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business
			Weissman,		Legal Department for review by counsel in	Privilege; Work	matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery
ABDCMDL PRIV 5503	11/4/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel		connection with 60 minutes coverage	Product	rulings.
ADDENDE_I MV_5365	11/4/2017	vvcissinari, G.	Gubrier		Draft PowerPoint presentation analyzing	TTOGGET	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is
					Washington Post/60 Minutes claims regarding	Attorney-Client	not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business
			Weissman,		lobbying expenses, prepared at the drection of the	Privilege; Work	matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery
ABDCMDL PRIV 5504	11/6/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel		ABC Legal Department for reviwe by counsel	Product	rulings.
		,			,		No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is
						Attorney-Client	not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business
			Weissman,		Draft press release prepared at the direction of the	Privilege; Work	matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5506	11/7/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel		ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Product	rulings.
							No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is
					Draft package of media materials prepared for the	Attorney-Client	not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business
			Weissman,		board of directors at the direction of the ABC Legal	Privilege; Work	matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5508	11/8/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel		Department for review by counsel	Product	rulings.
					L		No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is
					Draft presentation to the board of directors	Attorney-Client	not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business
			Weissman,		prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal	Privilege; Work	matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5514	11/9/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel		Department	Product	rulings.
						Attorney-Client	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is
			Maissanan		Droft areas valous areas and at the discretion of the		not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business
ARDCARDI RRIV FF10	11/20/2017	Waissman C	Weissman,		Draft press release prepared at the direction of the		matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5519	11/28/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel		ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Product	rulings. No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is
						Attorney-Client	not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business
			Weissman,		Draft press release prepared at the direction of the		matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery
ABDCMDL PRIV 5520	11/29/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel		ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Product	rulings.
ABDCIVIDE_FRIV_5320	11/23/2017	weissillali, G.	Gabrier		Abo Legal Department for review by couriser	rioduct	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is
						Attorney-Client	not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business
			Weissman,		Draft press release prepared at the direction of the		matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery
ABDCMDL PRIV 5521	11/30/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel		ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Product	rulings.
	,,						No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is
						Attorney-Client	not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business
			Weissman,		Draft press release prepared at the direction of the	Privilege; Work	matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5525	12/6/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel		ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Product	rulings.
							No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is
						Attorney-Client	not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business
			Weissman,		Draft press release prepared at the direction of the		matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5526	12/12/2017	Weissman, G.	Gabriel		ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Product	rulings.
					Draft talking points and key messaging document		
					relating to opioid litigation and media scrutiny,		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Specific author not identified.
	- / - /		Reservoir		prepared at the direction fo the ABC Legal	Attorney-Client	Specific recipients not identified. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5528	2/6/2018	Weissman, G.	Communications	Healthcare Distribution Alliance	Deaprtment for review by counsel	Privilege	discovery rulings.
					Email among client employees and counsel		Attachage invalement is indicate and for not not apparent. Cubicat invalvas a
					discussing need for legal advice from in-house	Attarnay Cliant	Attorney involement is indirect and/or not not apparent. Subject involves a
ABDCMDL PRIV 5557	2/22/2017	Tallama Drad	Tallamus Drad	Walsaman Cabriel	counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding controlled substances media update.	Attorney-Client	business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5557	3/22/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Weissman, Gabriel	Email among client employees discussing legal	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
					advice from in-house counsel (E. Campbell/Esq.)		Attorney involement is indirect and/or not not apparent. Subject involves a
					regarding overview of the severity of the opioid	Attorney-Client	business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is
ABDCMDL PRIV 5558	4/20/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Mitchell, Beth	Tallamy, Brad	crisis.	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
	7/20/2017			·			Attorney involement is indirect and/or not not apparent. Subject involves a
				Zimmerman, Chris; Reed, Chuck; Kounelis, Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.;	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal	Attorney-Client	business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is
ABDCMDL PRIV 5566	F /11 /2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Peter; May, David; Mays, Steve; Ross, Paul Weissman, Gabriel	advice regarding opioid legislation.	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
	5/11/2017	,	,, 5.00	, .,,,,	Email among client employees discussing legal	0-	Attorney involement is indirect and/or not not apparent. Subject involves a
	5/11/2017						
1	5/11/2017				advice from in-house counsel (Campbell,	Attorney-Client	business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is
ABDCMDL PRIV 5568		Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	May, David	advice from in-house counsel (Campbell,		business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5568		Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	May, David Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Reed, Chuck;		Attorney-Client Privilege	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5568		Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad		advice from in-house counsel (Campbell,		
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5568		Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Keed, Chuck;	advice from in-house counsel (Campbell,		
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5568		Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Reed, Chuck; Kounelis, Peter; Mays, Steve; Ross, Paul;	advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding opioid legislation.	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5568 ABDCMDL_PRIV_5570	5/11/2017	Tallamy, Brad Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Reed, Chuck; Kounelis, Peter; Mays, Steve; Ross, Paul; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Campbell,	advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding opioid legislation. Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Subject involves a business
	5/11/2017			Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Reed, Chuck; Kounelis, Peter; Mays, Steve; Ross, Paul; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Weissman, Gabriel; Chou,	advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding opioid legislation. Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding response to opioid policy steering	Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Subject involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent
	5/11/2017 5/23/2017	Tallamy, Brad		Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Reed, Chuck; Kounelis, Peter; Mays, Steve; Ross, Paul; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Weissman, Gabriel; Chou,	advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding opioid legislation. Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding response to opioid policy steering committee.	Privilege Attorney-Client	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Subject involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
	5/11/2017 5/23/2017			Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Reed, Chuck; Kounelis, Peter; Mays, Steve; Ross, Paul; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Weissman, Gabriel; Chou, John/Esq.	advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding opioid legislation. Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding response to opioid policy steering committee. Email among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding opioid media reports.	Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Subject involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involement is indirect and/or not not apparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5570	5/11/2017 5/23/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Reed, Chuck; Kounelis, Peter; Mays, Steve; Ross, Paul; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Weissman, Gabriel; Chou, John/Esq. Nightengale, Brian; Weissman, Gabriel; Lane,	advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding opioid legislation. Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding response to opioid policy steering committee. Email among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding opioid media reports. Email among client employees discussing legal	Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege	Inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Subject involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involement is indirect and/or not apparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5570	5/11/2017 5/23/2017 5/30/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Reed, Chuck; Kounelis, Peter; Mays, Steve; Ross, Paul; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Weissman, Gabriel; Chou, John/Esq. Nightengale, Brian; Weissman, Gabriel; Lane,	advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding opioid legislation. Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding response to opioid policy steering committee. Email among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding opioid media reports.	Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Subject involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involement is indirect and/or not not apparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 168-4 Filed 02/21/20 Page 3 of 19 PageID #: 1481

			1		I	Email with attachments among client employees		
						discussing legal advice from in-house counsel		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a
						(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding opioid task	Attorney-Client	business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is
ABDCMDL PRIV 5584	8/29/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad		force.	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
		.,				Email among client employees prepared at the		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a
						direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding	Attorney-Client	business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5588	8/31/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Mitchell, Beth	Tallamy, Brad		opioid task force.	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
						Email with attachments among client employees		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a
	- /- /					discussing request for legal advice from in-house	Attorney-Client	business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5593	9/5/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie	Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, Beth; O'Sullivan, Ashley	counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding media update.	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
				Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell, Beth;		Email among client employees discussing legal advice (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding review	Attorney-Client	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5598	9/14/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Eddy, Julie; O'Sullivan, Ashley		of HDA recommendations.	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
7.55 G.M.5 Z_1 M.V_5555	3/11/2017	rananiy, braa	Tronton, mile	zady, sane, e samvan, rismey		Email with attachment among client employees	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	misonsistent ny prior discovery rumigs.
						discussing information prepared at the direction of		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a
				Heller, Stacie; Norton, Rita; Mitchell, Beth;		in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.)	Attorney-Client	business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5599	9/18/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Eddy, Julie; O'Sullivan, Ashley		regarding Policy Committee meeting agenda.	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
						Email among client employees discussing request		
						for legal advice from in-house counsel		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a
	,,,			O'Sullivan, Ashley; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell,		(Casalenuovo, Chris/Esq.) regarding draft statement		business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5600	9/18/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Beth; Heller, Stacie		on the healthcare supply chain. Email with attachments among client employees	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
						discussing need for legal advice from in-house		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a
						counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding analysis of	Attorney-Client	business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is
ABDCMDL PRIV 5601	9/18/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad		media updates.	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
	5, 25, 252	,,		Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Laepple Hertzog,		Email with attachments from client to in-house		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business
			Lorenz-Fisher,	Kate; DiCicco, Diane; Mitchell, Beth; Tallamy,		counsel seeking legal advice regarding opioid	Attorney-Client	matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5608	9/27/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Susan	Brad; Weissman, Gabriel	Zonia, Theresa/Tierney	workshop.	Privilege	rulings.
				Weissman, Gabriel; Mauch, Robert; Chou,				
				John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Campbell,				
				Elizabeth/Esq.; Norton, Rita; Zimmerman,		Email with attachment from client to in-house		Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Subject involves a business
100 01401 0001 5044	44 /44 /224	- u o i	- " - "	Chris; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; May,		counsel seeking legal advice regarding review of	Attorney-Client	and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5611	11/11/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	David	Mattox, Keri; Gunning, Francesca; Murphy, Bennett	draft opioid policy. Email among client employees discussing need for	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a
						legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou,	Attorney-Client	business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is
ABDCMDL PRIV 5612	11/11/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, Beth	Tallamy, Brad; O'Sullivan, Ashley	John/Esq.) regarding draft opioid policy.	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
	,,	,,		1		Transfer and Street Principles		
				Substitute Name in Class (Bases)				
				Suter, June; Krusing, Clare/Reservoir;				
				Schooling, Robert/Reservoir; Romero, Lauren; Sauerhaft, Roger/Slone PR; Zimmerman, Chris;				
				Gunning, Francesca; Tallamy, Brad; Clark,				
				Gina; Brandt, Darren/Slone PR; Norton, Rita;				
				May, David; Parker, John/HDA; Casalenuovo,		Email with attachments from client to in-house		
				Christopher/Esq.; Webb, Matthew; Chou,		counsel and trade group seeking legal advice	Attorney-Client	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys
			Weissman,	John/Esq.; Traynor, Kate/Sloane PR; Zacchei,		regarding presentation on the industry response to		privilege. Subject involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a
ABDCMDL PRIV 5615	11/13/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Gabriel	Dan/Sloane PR; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.		the opioid epidemic.		
	, , ,	,,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a
						Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal	Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5616	11/13/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Gaddes, Kathy		advice regarding ABC opioid policy press release.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
						Email among client employees discussing need for		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a
		- " - "	L			legal advice from in-house counsel regarding opioid		business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5617	11/13/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Weissman, Gabriel		strategy.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
			1			Email among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou,	1	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a
			1			John/Esq.) regarding ABC opioid policy press	Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL PRIV 5618	11/13/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Mauch, Robert		release.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
5025	11/13/2017	,, 5. aa	rananty, brad			Email among client employees discussing request		1
			1			for legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell,	1	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a
			1				Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5619	11/13/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad		in response to opioid abuse.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
						Email with attachment among client employees		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a
						discussing legal advice (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.)	Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5626	11/28/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita		regarding draft statement on opioid operations.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
			1			Email with attachment among client employees	1	Attamparing planning in disease and for the second for the second
						discussing public affairs strategy prepared at the	Attamas: Cli	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL PRIV 5628	11/20/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Weissman, Gabriel	Norton, Rita	direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding draft statement.	Attorney-Client Privilege	discovery rulings.

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 168-4 Filed 02/21/20 Page 4 of 19 PageID #: 1482

			1		T			
						Email with attachments among client employees		
						discussing request for legal advice from in-house		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a
						counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding review	Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL PRIV 5632	11/29/2017 Tall	llamy Brad	Norton, Rita	Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell, Beth		of educational programs to prevent opioid abuse.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
ADDCIVIDE_1 KIV_5032	11/25/2017 1011	namy, braa	Norton, nta	Tiener, Stacie, Tallarity, Brad, Witterell, Betti		Email with attachment among client employees	Tivilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a
						discussing opioid task force slides prepared at the	Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL PRIV 5641	12/7/2017 Tall	llamv. Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita; O'Sullivan, Ashley		direction of ABC Legal department.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
	==, -, ===	,,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Email among client employees discussing need for		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a
						legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell,	Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL PRIV 5642	12/8/2017 Tall	llamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding legislative strategy.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
						Email with attachment among client employees		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a
						discussing opioid task force slides prepared at the	Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5643	12/8/2017 Tall	llamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	O'Sullivan, Ashley; Norton, Rita		direction of ABC Legal department.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
						Email with attachments among client employees		
						discussing need for legal advice from in-house		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect
						counsel (Chou, John/Esq.; Bak, Hyung/Esq.)	Attorney-Client	and/or not apparent. Subject involves a business and/or regulatory matter,
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5652	12/17/2017 Tall	llamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Feehery, John/EFB Advocacy	Tallamy, Brad	regarding media response to the opioid crisis.	Privilege	and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
						Email with attachment among client employees		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a
						discussing opioid task force slides prepared at the	Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5655	1/2/2018 Tall	llamy, Brad	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Tallamy, Brad		direction of ABC Legal department.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
		-				Email among client employees discussing		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a
						information prepared at the direction of ABC Legal	Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5656	1/10/2018 Tall	llamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita		Department regarding opioid task force.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
						Email with attachments among client employees	Attorney-Client	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a
						discussing legal advice from ABC Legal Department	Privilege; Work	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5657	1/10/2018 Tall	llamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad		regarding opioid taskforce.	Product	discovery rulings.
						Email with attachment among client employees	Attorney-Client	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a
				Meredith, Emily; O'Sullivan, Ashley; Mitchell,		discussing legal advice from ABC Legal Department		business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5658	1/15/2018 Tall	llamy, Brad	Scheidt, Scott	Vivienne; Davis, Laura; Tallamy, Brad		regarding opioid taskforce.	Product	discovery rulings.
						Email with attachments among client employees		
						discussing request for legal advice from in-house		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect
							Attorney-Client	and/or not apparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5665	1/19/2018 Tall	llamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Feehery, John/EFB Advocacy; Norton, Rita		opinion piece on the opioid crisis.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
						Empil from client to in house councel and		Third party in the company destroys printings. Attorney in the company is indicate
						Email from client to in-house counsel and	Attarnau Cliant	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect
ADDCAADL DDIV ECCC	4 /24 /2040 T-II	U Dood	Telleron Dood	Inimum Starray (Dahami Glabal	Consider the Higgs and the Artifician Artifician Artifician	consultant seeking legal advice regarding analysis of	-	and/or not apparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5666	1/24/2018 Tall	liamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Irizarry, Steven/Roberti Global	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; O'Sullivan, Ashley	negative effects of opioid abuse. Email with attachment among client employees	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a
						discussing opioid task force slides prepared at the	Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL PRIV 5671	1/26/2018 Tall	llamy Prad	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	O'Sullivan, Ashley	direction of ABC Legal department.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
ABDCIVIDE_FRIV_5071	1/20/2018 Tall	ilalily, blau	ralially, brau	NOITOH, KILA	O Sullivali, Ashley	Email with attachment among client employees	riiviiege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a
						discussing opioid task force slides prepared at the	Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5672	1/26/2018 Tall	llamy Brad	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Tallamy Brad		direction of ABC Legal department.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
ADDEMBE_I MV_5072	1/20/2010 1011	namy, braa	O Sumvan, Asmey	ranarry, braa		Email with attachment among client employees	TTIVIICEC	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a
						discussing opioid task force slides prepared at the	Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL PRIV 5675	1/30/2018 Tall	llamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Scheidt, Scott; Norton, Rita	O'Sullivan, Ashley	direction of ABC Legal department.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
	, , , , , ,	. ,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Email among client employees discussing need for	-0-	
						legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell,		Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a
						Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding request for review of	Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL PRIV 5706	2/16/2018 Tall	llamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, Beth	Tallamy, Brad	draft advocacy materials.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
		*				•	-	
				Johnson Danold/Fox /A4-III		Fracil from client to mean fortune and	Attaunay Cli	Third next involvement destroys while Cubic to the control to
				Lohman, Donald/Esq./Mallinckrodt; Tyndall,		Email from client to manufacturer and	Attorney-Client	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business and/or
ADDCAADL DDIV 5724	2/22/2242 7 "	U Bread	North Dite	Mark/Mallinckrodt; Naten,	Tallana Band OlGullina Ashlan	manufacturer's counsel seeking legal advice	Privilege;	regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5721	2/22/2018 Tall	liamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Derek/Mallinckrodt; Long, Erika/Mallinckrodt	Tallamy, Brad; O'Sullivan, Ashley	regarding DEA clearinghouse proposal.	Common Interest	discovery rulings.
1				Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Zimmerman,		Email with attachments from client to in-house		Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect
1				Chris; May, David; Mays, Steve; Campbell,		counsel seeking legal advice regarding feedback on	Attorney-Client	and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5729	2/27/2018 Tall	llamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Elizabeth/Esq.	Mitchell, Beth; O'Sullivan, Ashley	new federal legislation.	Privilege	Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-		
						Email with attachments among client employees		Attack to the second to be direct and do not a second Cold.
						discussing request for legal advice from in-house	Attaunay Cli	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a
ADDICADL DDIV EZEC	2/45/2015 - "	Unamer Brand	T-11 2	Norther Bits		counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding	Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5756	3/15/2018 Tall	liamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita		controlled substance media update.	Privilege	discovery rulings.

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 168-4 Filed 02/21/20 Page 5 of 19 PageID #: 1483

			_		<u></u>			
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5760	3/16/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Scheidt, Scott; Norton, Rita	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Email with attachments among client employees discussing opioid task force slides prepared at the direction of ABC Legal Department.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5761	3/16/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Norton, Rita	Email between client and consultant discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law) regarding Senate hearings.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common Interest	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Subject involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
					Weissman, Gabriel; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.;	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal	Attaman Client	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5791	4/17/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Zimmerman, Chris	Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; May, David; Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita; Esposito, Lauren	advice regarding draft statement to the Washington Post.	Attorney-Client Privilege	and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL PRIV 5792	4/17/2018 7	Tallamy, Brad	Scheidt, Scott	Tallamy, Brad		Email among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding opioid policy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
			,			,		, ,
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5810	4/25/2018 T	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Meredith, Emily; Norton, Rita		Email with attachment among client employees discussing talking points prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding S. 483.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
						Email among client employees discussing request for legal advice from in-house counsel	Attorney-Client	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business and/or
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5855	5/16/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Freitas, Kristen/HDA		(Casalenuovo, Chris/Esq.) regarding thoughts on federal suspicious order monitoring legislation.	Privilege; Common Interest	regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5869	2/25/2011	Norton, Rita	Eddy, Julie	Norton, Rita		Email among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding proposed Ohio legislation.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
						Email among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.)	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5870	5/28/2015 N	Norton, Rita	Anderson, Mary	Norton, Rita		regarding Senator Manchin letter. Email among client employees discussing	Product	w/ prior discovery rulings.
						information prepared at the direction of HDMA counsel (Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDMA)	Attorney-Client Privilege;	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5871	6/3/2015	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Eddy, Julie		regarding draft legislation. Email with attachments among client employees	Common Interest	w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL PRIV 5872	6/7/2015	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad		discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Senator Manchin meeting.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
	3,1,2020					Email with attachments among client employees and consultant discussing legal advice from in-		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be
ADDCA4DL DDIV 5072	6/7/2015	Nester Bite	Norte Bite	Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global; Irizarry,		house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding		directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5873	6///2015	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Steven/Roberti Global; Tallamy, Brad		Senator Manchin meeting.	Privilege	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
						Email from client to consultant discussing request for legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell,	Attorney-Client	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5874	6/16/2015 N	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global		Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Senator Manchin letter. Email with attachment from client to consultant	Privilege	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
						discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Senator	Attorney-Client	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5877	6/18/2015 N	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Manchin letter. Email with attachments among client employees	Privilege	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL PRIV 5884	6/26/2015	Norton Rita	Norton, Rita	Anderson, Mary		discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Senator Manchin meeting.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ADDCIVIDE_FAIV_3004	0/20/2015	NOITOH, NILA	NOITON, KILA	Ander SUII, IVIdI Y		Email with attachments among client employees reflecting legal advice from in-house counsel	-	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5885	6/26/2015 N	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita		(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Senator Manchin meeting.	Attorney-Client Privilege	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL PRIV 5886	6/29/2015	Norton, Rita	Davis, Laura	Davis, Laura; Tallamy, Brad; Anderson, Mary; Money, Jason		Email among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding DeWine presentation.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
	1	•				<u>. </u>		•

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 168-4 Filed 02/21/20 Page 6 of 19 PageID #: 1484

			Chou, John/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris; May,		Email with attachment from client to in-house		Lavas distribution indicates that communication is not aviollaged. Third party
			David; Mosley, Amy; DiCenso, Frank; Money,			A44 Cli4	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Third party
100 CH 101 CO 100 CO 10	7/20/2015 11		Jason; Cincione, K./Vorys; Eddy, Julie; Hobson,		counsel and consultants seeking legal advice	Attorney-Client	involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5895	7/20/2015 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	David/FIA Advisors		regarding DeWine meeting.	Privilege	matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
			Steven/Roberti Global; Jenkins,				
			Tim/Esq./Nossaman; Quinn,				
			Paul/Esq./Nossaman; Mortier, Jeff/RVMB;				
			Tongour, Michael/TCH Group; Holsclaw,		Email from client to consultants and outside		Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Third party
			Brad/TCH Group; Wiley, D./TCH Group;		counsel seeking legal advice regarding DEA	Attorney-Client	involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business
ABDCMDL PRIV 5897	7/29/2015 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad; Money, Jason		recommendations.	Privilege	matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABBCIVIBL_FIXIV_3837	7/25/2013 Norton, Nita	Norton, Kita	Tallally, blad, Wolley, Jason		recommendations.	riiviiege	matter. Frivilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery runings.
			Holsclaw, Brad/TCH Group; Tongour,				
			Michael/TCH Group; Roberti, Vincent/Roberti				
			Global; Irizarry, Steven/Roberti Global;				
			Jenkins, T./Esq./Nossman; Walter,		Email with attachments from client to outside		Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Third party
			Sarah/Walter Group; Quinn,		counsel and consultants seeking legal advice	Attorney-Client	involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business
ABDCMDL PRIV 5898	8/18/2015 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Paul/Esq./Nossaman; Mortier, J./RMVB	Money, Jason; Tallamy, Brad	regarding S. 483.	Privilege	matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
	., ., ,	, , ,	, , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Email with attachments among client employees	-0-	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
					discussing legal advice from HDMA counsel		Distribution is not identified. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved
					(Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Rx abuse	Attorney-Client	in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5899	8/19/2015 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita		meeting.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
		,	·		-		,, ,
					Email among client employee and consultant	Attorney-Client	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be
					discussing need for legal advice from in-house	Privilege;	directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a
ABDCMDL PRIV 5901	8/25/2015 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDMA		counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding S. 483.	Common interest	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
		,			Email from client to consultant discussing legal		
					advice from outside counsel (Quinn,		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be
					Paul/Esq./Nossaman) regarding opioid-related	Attorney-Client	directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5905	1/16/2016 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global		legislation.	Privilege	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
					Email from client to consultant discussing legal		
					advice from outside counsel (Quinn,		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be
					Paul/Esq./Nossaman) regarding opioid-related	Attorney-Client	directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5906	1/17/2016 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global		legislation.	Privilege	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
					Email with attachment among client employees		
					discussing draft presentation prepared at the		Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly
					direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding	Attorney-Client	involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5907	2/1/2016 Norton, Rita	Money, Jason	DiCenso, Frank; Delac, Frank	Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie	Representative Stivers meeting.	Privilege	matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
					Email with attachments among client employees		Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly
			Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Money, Jason;		discussing HDMA briefing regarding Masters	Attorney-Client	involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5911	2/11/2016 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Eddy, Julie	Anderson, Mary	litigation.	Privilege	matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
				Heller, Stacie; Zimmerman, Chris; Hirst, D.; Campbell,			Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
1000101 0001 5010	2/47/2045 11			Elizabeth/Esq.; Tallamy, Brad; Money, Jason; Eddy,	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5913	2/17/2016 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Mauch, Robert	Julie; Meehan, F.; Davis, Laura	advice regarding executive committee briefing. Email with attachments among client employees	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
							Attornor does not appear to be discostly involved in communication. Cubicat
			Monoy Jacon Tallamy Bradi Hollor Stanio		discussing legal advice from in-house counsel	Attornov Clicat	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
ARDCMDI DRIV FO14	3/33/3016 Norton Dita	Norton Dita	Money, Jason; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie;		(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Masters	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5914	2/22/2016 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Eddy, Julie		amicus brief. Email among client employees discussing legal	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
					advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.)		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
					regarding presidential announcement regarding	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ARDCMDI DRIV FO34	4/3/3016 Norton Dita	Norton Dita	Zimmorman Chris	Lana C May David Nou Davis Mayob Bak			=
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5924	4/2/2016 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Zimmerman, Chris	Lane, C.; May, David; Neu, Dave; Mauch, Robert	opioid crisis. Email among client employees discussing legal	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
					advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.)		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
					regarding response to Senator Schumer statement.	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL PRIV 5927	5/3/2016 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Heller, Stacie		regarding response to senator schumer statement.	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
ADDCIVIDE_FRIV_332/	5/ 5/ 2010 NOTION, KILA	inoi toii, nitd	Helier, Statie			riiviiege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Subject of
				Eddy, Julie; Casalenuovo, Chris/Esq.; Heller, Stacie;	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal	Attorney-Client	communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/
ABDCMDL PRIV 5932	7/13/2016 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Hartman, S.	Zimmerman, Chris; May, David	advice regarding State drug monitoring programs.	Privilege	prior discovery rulings.
WDDCIAIDE LKIA 3325	// 15/2016 Norton, Kita	inorton, Kita	riai ullali, 3.	Zimmerman, Chris; Iviay, David	auvice regarding state drug monitoring programs.	riiviiege	prior discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Subject of
				Caroline, David/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Mays,	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal	Attorney-Client	communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/
ARDCMDI BRIV E022	9/19/2016 Norton Dita	Norton, Rita	May, David; Zimmerman, Chris	Stephen; Tallamy, Brad; Hartman, Sharon	= =		=
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5933	8/18/2016 Norton, Rita	inorton, Kita	iviay, Daviu; Zimmerman, Chris	Stephen; Tallamy, Brau; nartman, Sharon	advice regarding opposition to Marino bill.	Privilege	prior discovery rulings.
						Attorney-Client	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be
			Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Gallenagh,		Email among client employees and HDA counsel	Privilege;	directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a
ABDCMDL PRIV 5934	8/18/2016 Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Elizabeth/Esg./HDA	Tallamy, Brad	regarding opposition to Marino bill.	0 ,	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
MODOWIDE_FILIV_3334	0/ 10/ 2010 NOTION, AIL	Norton, Mila	Enzabeth/Esq./TIDA	ranamy, brad	regarding opposition to Marino bin.	common interest	pushiess matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery runings.

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 168-4 Filed 02/21/20 Page 7 of 19 PageID #: 1485

				T		U mail with attachments with attachments are areas		
						Email with attachments with attachments prepared		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
						at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for	Attarnay Cliant	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ADDCMDL DRIV FORF	0/18/2016	Martan Dita	Norton Dito	Heller Steeler Tellerer Dred Maney Josep		the purpose of providing legal advice re draft Board Materials.	Privilege	9
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5935	9/18/2016 N	NOTION, KILA	Norton, Rita	Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Money, Jason		Email among client employees discussing legal	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
			Weissman,			advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.)	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL PRIV 5936	11/4/2016 N	Morton Pita	Gabriel	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad		regarding industry talking points.	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCIVIDL_PRIV_5930	11/4/2016 N	NOTION, KILA	Gabriei	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacle; Tallamy, Brad		Email with attachment from client to in-house	Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
						counsel seeking legal advice regarding HDA	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL PRIV 5937	11/4/2016 N	Norton Pita	Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel	Chou, John/Esq.; Norton, Rita	response document.	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
ADDCIVIDE_FIXIV_5937	11/4/2010	vorton, Rita	Norton, Mita	Weissman, Gabrier	Criou, Johny Esq., Norton, Nita	response document.	riiviiege	w/ prior discovery runnigs.
						Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal		Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a
			Weissman,			advice regarding draft language on DEA reporting	Attorney-Client	legal one. In-house counsel is merely copied on communication. Privilege
ABDCMDL PRIV 5938	11/4/2016 N	Norton Rita	Gabriel	Norton, Rita	Chou, John/Esq.	system.	Privilege	claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABBCIVIDE_I NIV_3550	11/4/2010	vortori, ritta	Gubrier	Norton, ritu	Criou, Johny Esq.	Email among client employees discussing legal	TTIVILEGE	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
						advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.)	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL PRIV 5939	11/5/2016 N	Norton Rita	Heller, Stacie	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	regarding HDA messaging.	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCIVIDE_FRIV_5955	11/3/2010	vortori, itita	rieller, Statie	Norton, Rica	Tallatily, brau	regarding ribA messaging.	riiviiege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
						Email from in-house counsel to client and	Attorney-Client	Topic of communication is a business matter, and not a legal one. Third party
				Barry, Ellen/CAH; Morford, Craig/CAH;			Privilege;	involvement destroys privilege. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL PRIV 5940	11/6/2016 N	Norton Pita	Chou, John/Esq.	Schechter, Lori/McKesson	Norton, Rita; Weissman, Gabriel; Clark, Gina	messaging.		discovery rulings.
ABBCIVIBL_FIXIV_5540	11/0/2010	vortori, itita	Cilou, Joini, Esq.	Schediter, Edit/Mickesson	Norton, Nita, Weissman, Gabrier, Clark, Gilla	Email with attachment from client to in-house	common interest	discovery runnigs.
			Weissman,			counsel seeking legal advice regarding draft	Attorney-Client	Communication involves a busness and/or non-legal matter. Privilege claim is
ABDCMDL PRIV 5941	11/6/2016 N	Norton Pita	Gabriel	Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.	Clark, Gina: Norton, Rita	industry talking points on opioid abuse.	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ADDCIVIDE_FRIV_5541	11/0/2010	vortori, itita	Gabrier	iviaucii, Robert, Cilou, Joini, Esq.	Clark, Giria, Norton, Nita	industry taiking points on opioid abuse.	riiviiege	inconsistent wy prior discovery runings.
						Email from in-house counsel to client and	Attorney-Client	Topic of communication is a business matter, and not a legal one. Third party
				Morford, Craig/CAH; Hunter,	Barry, Ellen/CAH; Schechter, Lori/McKesson;	distributors providing legal advice regarding opioid		involvement destroys privilege. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL PRIV 5942	11/7/2016 N	Norton Pita	Chou, John/Esq.	Kristin/McKesson; Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel; Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Clark, Gina	talking points.	Common interest	discovery rulings.
ADDCIVIDE_FIXIV_5542	11/7/2010	vortori, itita	Cilou, Joini, Esq.	Kristin/ Wickesson, Norton, Kita	Mauch, Robert; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Chou,	taking points.	common interest	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Attorney
					John/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Clark, Gina;			does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of
					Guttman, Tim; Mattox, Keri; Moyer, Lauren; Tallamy,	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal	Attorney-Client	communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/
ABDCMDL PRIV 5943	1/27/2017 N	Norton Pita	Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel	Brad	advice regarding legislative efforts.	Privilege	prior discovery rulings.
ABDCIVIDE_FRIV_5545	1/2//2017	vortori, itita	Norton, Mita	Weissman, Gabrier	biau	Email with attachments among client employees	riiviiege	prior discovery runnigs.
						discussing legal advice from in-house counsel		
						(Chou, John/Esq.) regarding news article about		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
						opioid protest.	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL PRIV 5944	3/2/2017 N	Norton Rita	Anderson, Mary	Norton, Rita		opiola processi	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABBCINIDE_I NIV_3544	3/2/2017	vorton, nita	Anderson, wary	Norton, rita		Email among client employees discussing legal	TTIVILEGE	wy prior discovery runnigs.
						advice from in-house counsel (Campbell,		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
						Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding statement for press in	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL PRIV 5945	3/3/2017 N	Norton Rita	Tallamy, Brad	Weissman, Gabriel	Norton, Rita	response to opioid crisis.	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
7.55 6.115 2_1 1.11 2.55 1.5	5,5,251, 1.	1011011,11110	ranamy, braa	Weissman, Cabrie	Troncon, mile	response to opioid drisis:		W/ prior discovery runnigs.
				Weissman, Gabriel; Norton, Rita; Heller,	Chou, John/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Mattox,			Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Third party
				Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie; Mitchell,		Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal	Attorney-Client	involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business
ABDCMDL PRIV 5946	3/9/2017 N	Norton, Rita	Moyer, Lauren	Beth	Putt, Jordan	advice regarding response to media article.	Privilege	matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
	5,5,252.	,						, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
						Email among client employees discussing need for		Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly
						legal advice from in-house counsel regarding opioid	Attorney-Client	involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business
ABDCMDL PRIV 5948	3/15/2017 N	Norton Rita	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, Beth	Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie	media coverage.	Privilege	matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ADDEMBE_I MV_5546	3/13/2017	vortori, ritta	Norton, rata	Ivitedicii, Betii	richer, Stacie, Fallarity, Brad, Eddy, Saile	media coverage.	TTIVILEGE	matter. Trivilege claim is inconsistent wy prior discovery rainings.
					May, David; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Mattox, Keri;	:		
					Guttman, Tim; Zimmerman, Chris; Moyer, Lauren;			
					Clark, Gina; Mauch, Robert; DeAtley, Danny /ICS;	Email from client to in-house counsel and		Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Third party
				Weissman, Gabriel; Chou, John/Esq.;	Romero, L.; Putt, Jordan; DiCicco, Diane/Tierney;		Attorney-Client	involvement destroys privilege. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL PRIV 5950	5/9/2017 A	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Hummel, Kristin/Tierney; Dodson, Sydney/Tierney	and Commerce inquiry.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
. 155 C.1.15 E_1 111 V_5550	3,3,2017	torcon, mic	ivorton, mta	Tallamy, Brad; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.;	Transier, residing riciney, bodson, sydney, flerney	and commerce inquiry.		Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Subject of
				Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Eddy, Julie;		Email with attachment from client to in-house	Attorney-Client	communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/
ABDCMDL PRIV 5952	6/5/2017 N	Norton Rita	Norton, Rita	Chou, John/Esq.		counsel seeking legal advice regarding article.	Privilege	
ADDCIVIDE_FILIV_3332	0/3/2017	voi ton, nita	ivoi toli, nita	Criou, John Lay.		Email with attachments among client employees	i iiviiege	prior discovery rulings.
1						discussing information prepared at the direction of		Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly
						1	Attorney-Client	involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business
ABDCMDL PRIV 5953	6/5/2017 N	Norton Pita	Norton Bita	Anderson, Mary		the ABC Legal Department regarding board meeting.		
MDDCIVIDE_PRIV_3933	b/5/201/N	voi ton, kitā	Norton, Rita	Alluei SUII, IVIdi y		Email with attachments among client employees	Privilege	matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
1						discussing legal advice from outside counsel		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be
				Norton Bita: Hollor Stacio: Tallam:: Beed:			Attornov Cliont	
ABDCMDL PRIV 5960	6/20/2047	Martan Dita	Mitchell D	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad;		(Nicholson, Kevin/NACDS/Esq.) regarding public	Attorney-Client	directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a
TABLE IVILLE PRIV 5900	6/20/2017 N	vorton, kita	Mitchell, B.	Eddy, Julie	1	policy priorities.	Privilege	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 168-4 Filed 02/21/20 Page 8 of 19 PageID #: 1486

					Email with attachments among client employees		Third and in the second declaration of the s
					discussing legal advice from outside counsel	A44 Cli4	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be
100 01401 0001 5001	5/24/2047			T	(Nicholson, Kevin/NACDS/Esq.) regarding public	Attorney-Client	directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5961	6/21/2017 Norto	ton, Rita Mitchell, B.	Heller, Stacie; Eddy, Julie	Tallamy, Brad; Norton, Rita	policy priorities. Email with attachments among client employees	Privilege	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
					discussing legal advice from outside counsel		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be
					(Nicholson, Kevin/NACDS/Esq.) regarding public	Attorney-Client	directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a
ABDCMDL PRIV 5963	6/26/2017 Norto	ton, Rita Mitchell, B.	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Eddy, Julie		policy priorities.	Privilege	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCIVIDE_FRIV_5905	0/20/2017 NOTE	ton, Kita Iviterien, B.	Norton, Rita, Heller, Stacle, Eddy, Julie		Email with attachments among client employees	riiviiege	business matter. Frivilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery runings.
					discussing legal advice from in-house counsel		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
					(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Congressional	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL PRIV 5965	6/29/2017 Norto	ton, Rita Norton, Rita	Davis, Laura		response.	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
7.55 6.115 2_1 11.17_55 65	0,23,201, 11010	11011011111111	Davis, Edura		Email with attachment among client employees	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	w/ prior discovery runnigs.
					discussing need for legal advice from in-house		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
					counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL PRIV 5966	6/30/2017 Norto	ton, Rita Heller, Stacie	Norton, Rita; Eddy, Julie		Masters.	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Email with attachments among client employees		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
					discussing legal advice from in-house counsel		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
					(Chou, John/Esq.) regarding controlled substance	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5967	6/30/2017 Norto	ton, Rita Norton, Rita	Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global	O'Sullivan, Ashley/Roberti Global	media update.	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
							Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
					Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5971	7/10/2017 Norto	ton, Rita Mauch, Robe	rt Norton, Rita; Clark, Gina; Weissman, Gabriel	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Chou, John/Esq.	advice regarding proposed public affairs campaign.	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
					Email with attachment among client employees		
					discussing legal advice from in-house counsel		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
					(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding CWAG	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5976	7/13/2017 Norto	ton, Rita Mitchell, B.	Norton, Rita	Davis, Laura	meeting.	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
							Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be
			Tallamy, Brad; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie;		Email with attachments from NACDS Legal	Attorney-Client	directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5982	8/7/2017 Norto	ton, Rita Mitchell, B.	Eddy, Julie; O'Sullivan, Ashley		providing legal advice regarding opioid workgroup.	Privilege	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
					Email with attachment among client employees		
					discussing legal advice from in-house counsel		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
					(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding opioid task	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5985	8/18/2017 Norto	ton, Rita Tallamy, Bra	Norton, Rita	Mauch Pohort: Chou, John/Esq.: Campholl	force meeting.	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
				Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Heller,	Email with attachment from in-house counsel to		Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Subject of
		Casalenuovo		Stacie; Moyer, Lauren; Meluso, Ginette; Hummel,	client providing legal advice regarding draft	Attorney-Client	communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/
ABDCMDL PRIV 5986	8/18/2017 Norto			Kristin/Tierney; McConnell, Kathy	editorial on the opioid crisis.		
ABDCIVIDE_PRIV_5980	8/18/2017 NOTE	ton, Rita Christopher/	sq. Weissman, Gabrier, Clark, Gina	Kristin/ Herney; McConnell, Kathy	Email among client employees discussing request	Privilege	prior discovery rulings.
					for legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou,		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
					John/Esq.) regarding draft opinion piece on the	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL PRIV 5987	8/19/2017 Norto	ton, Rita Tallamy, Bra	Norton, Rita		opioid crisis.	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
ADDEMBE_I MV_5567	0/13/2017 NOTE	ton, rica Tanamy, Bras	Norton, Nita	Chou, John/Esq.; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.;	opiola crisis.	TTIVIICEC	w/ prior discovery runnigs.
				Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie; Moyer,	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal		Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Subject of
		Campbell,	Weissman, Gabriel; Clark, Gina; Mauch,	Lauren; Meluso, Ginette; Hummel, Kristin/Tierney;	advice regarding draft opinion piece on the opioid	Attorney-Client	communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/
ABDCMDL PRIV 5988	8/19/2017 Norto			McConnell, Kathy/Tierney	crisis.	Privilege	prior discovery rulings.
	-, -, -			· · · · ·	Email with attachments among client employees	<u> </u>	, , ,
					discussing legal advice from in-house counsel		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
					(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding suspicious	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5990	8/22/2017 Norto	ton, Rita Norton, Rita	Gansler, Doug/Esq./Buckley Sander		order monitoring systems.	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
					Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal		Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a
		Weissman,			advice regarding public statements on the opioid	Attorney-Client	legal one. In-house counsel is merely copied on communication. Privilege
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5991	8/23/2017 Norto	ton, Rita Gabriel	Norton, Rita	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Tallamy, Brad	crisis.	Privilege	claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
					Email among client employees discussing legal		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
	1			Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, Beth; Eddy, Julie;	; advice (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5992	8/23/2017 Norto	ton, Rita Mitchell, B.	Tallamy, Brad	O'Sullivan, Ashley	responses to the opioid epidemic.	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
					Email with attachments among client employees		
					discussing legal advice from in-house counsel		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
	1				(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding controlled	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5994	8/23/2017 Norto	ton, Rita Norton, Rita	O'Sullivan, Ashley		substance media update.	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
					Email with attachment among client employees		
					discussing legal advice from NACDS counsel		
					(Nicholson, Kevin/NACDS/Esq.) regarding public	L	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be
	[L	policy priorities for curbing opioid related	Attorney-Client	directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a
ABDCMDL PRIV 5996	8/25/2017 Norto	ton, Rita Reed, Chuck	Mitchell, B.; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie	Reinardy, Joseph/CenterX	dependence, overdose and death.	Privilege	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 168-4 Filed 02/21/20 Page 9 of 19 PageID #: 1487

					Email among client employees discussing request		Attacher descriptions to be discrete involved in communication. Cubicat
					for legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell,	A + +	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
ADDCAADL DDIV 5007	0/20/2017 Nove	Aditaball D	Northern Dite		Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding review of letter on	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5997	8/28/2017 Nort	orton, Rita Mitchell, B.	Norton, Rita		solutions to the opioid crisis. Email with attachments among client employees	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
					discussing legal advice (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.)		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
			Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad;		regarding analysis of media statements on the	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5998	8/29/2017 Nort	orton, Rita Eddy, Julie	Mitchell, Beth		opioid epidemic.	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABBCIVIBE_I NIV_55550	0/23/2017 NOTE	ton, rata	Witterien, Beth		оргога сргастис.	TTIVILEGE	w/ prior discovery runnigs.
					Email among client employees discussing legal		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
					advice (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding analysis	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL PRIV 5999	8/29/2017 Nort	orton, Rita Tallamy, Bra	Norton, Rita		of media statements on the opioid epidemic.	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
					Email among client employees discussing request	_	
					for legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell,		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
					Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding review of media coverage	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6008	9/5/2017 Nort	rton, Rita Eddy, Julie	Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad		of the opioid crisis.	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
			Indian Tim/For Monorman Objetit				
			Jenkins, Tim/Esq./Nossaman; O'Neill,				
			John/Harbinger; Irizarry, Steven/Roberti		For it with the standard of th		
			Global; Tongour, Mike/TCH Group; White,		Email with attachment among client employees and		Land distribution in distribution when the committee in the contribution of Third contributions.
			Joel/Horizon; Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global;	Nortes Dita Hallar Stacia Mitchell Both Eddy Julia	consultant discussing information prepared at the	Attarnay Cliant	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Third party
ADDCAADL DDILL COOO	0/5/2017 Nove	Tallana Bar	Simpson, Al/Mercury, LLC; Cincione,		direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding	Attorney-Client	involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6009	9/5/2017 Nort	orton, Rita Tallamy, Bra	Karen/Vorys; Niehaus, Thomas/Vorys	O'Sullivan, Ashley	communications strategy. Email with attachments among client employees	Privilege	matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
					discussing legal advice from NACDS counsel		
					(Nicholson, Kevin/NACDS/Esq.) regarding public		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be
			Heller, Stacie; Norton, Rita; Eddy, Julie;		policy priorities for curbing opioid related	Attorney-Client	directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a
ABDCMDL PRIV 6010	9/8/2017 Nort	orton, Rita Mitchell, B.	Tallamy, Brad; O'Sullivan, Ashley	Reed, Chuck; Kounelis, Peter	dependence, overdose and death.	Privilege	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
	5,5,252						
				Jenkins, Tim/Esq./Nossaman; O'Neill, John/Harbinger;			
				Irizarry, Steven/Roberti Global; Tongour, Michael/TCH			
				Group; White, Joel/Horizon; Roberti, Vincent/Roberti			
				Global; Simpson, Al/Mercury, LLC; Cincione,	Email among client employees and consultants		
				Karen/Vorys; Niehaus, Thomas/Vorys; Norton, Rita;	discussing information prepared at the direction of		Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Third party
				Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, Beth; Eddy, Julie; O'Sullivan,	the ABC Legal Department regarding	Attorney-Client	involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6014	9/15/2017 Nort	orton, Rita Tallamy, Bra	Tallamy, Brad	Ashley	communications strategy.	Privilege	matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
					Email with attachment among client employees		Constitution and interest in a discount of the state of t
					discussing information prepared at the direction of	A + +	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly
ADDCAADL DDIV COAG	0/40/2047 Nove	utan Bita	Nantan Bita Tallana Band	Ols divers Asking	the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task	Attorney-Client	involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6019	9/19/2017 Nort	orton, Rita Heller, Stacie	Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad	O'Sullivan, Ashley	force. Email with attachment among client employees	Privilege	matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
					= : :		
							Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly
			Tallamy Brad Norton Rita Heller Stacie		discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task	Attorney-Client	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business
ARDCMDI PRIV 6020	9/22/2017 Nort	ton Rita Mitchell B	Tallamy, Brad; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie;		the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task	Attorney-Client	involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6020	9/22/2017 Nort	orton, Rita Mitchell, B.	Tallamy, Brad; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; O'Sullivan, Ashley		the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force.	Attorney-Client Privilege	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6020	9/22/2017 Nort	rton, Rita Mitchell, B.			the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task		involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6020	9/22/2017 Nort	rton, Rita Mitchell, B.			the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email among client employees and consultant		involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6020 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6024	9/22/2017 Nort			Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie	the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email among client employees and consultant discussing the need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Fox, Mary/Esq.) regarding policy committee meeting agenda.	Privilege	involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be
			O'Sullivan, Ashley	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie	the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email among client employees and consultant discussing the need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Fox, Mary/Esq.) regarding policy committee meeting agenda. Email with attachments among client employees	Privilege Attorney-Client	involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
			O'Sullivan, Ashley	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie	the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email among client employees and consultant discussing the need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Fox, Mary/Esq.) regarding policy committee meeting agenda. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of	Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege	involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6024	9/25/2017 Nort	orton, Rita Mitchell, B.	O'Sullivan, Ashley James, Kevin/US Bio Services	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie	the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email among client employees and consultant discussing the need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Fox, Mary/Esq.) regarding policy committee meeting agenda. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task	Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client	involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business
		orton, Rita Mitchell, B.	O'Sullivan, Ashley James, Kevin/US Bio Services	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie	the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email among client employees and consultant discussing the need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Fox, Mary/Esq.) regarding policy committee meeting agenda. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force.	Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege	involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6024	9/25/2017 Nort	orton, Rita Mitchell, B.	O'Sullivan, Ashley James, Kevin/US Bio Services	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie	the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email among client employees and consultant discussing the need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Fox, Mary/Esq.) regarding policy committee meeting agenda. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email with attachments among client employees	Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client	involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6024	9/25/2017 Nort	orton, Rita Mitchell, B.	O'Sullivan, Ashley James, Kevin/US Bio Services	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie	the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email among client employees and consultant discussing the need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Fox, Mary/Esq.) regarding policy committee meeting agenda. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of	Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege	involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6024 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6028	9/25/2017 Nort	orton, Rita Mitchell, B. orton, Rita Tallamy, Brad	O'Sullivan, Ashley James, Kevin/US Bio Services Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie	the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email among client employees and consultant discussing the need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Fox, Mary/Esq.) regarding policy committee meeting agenda. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding opvernment	Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client	involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6024	9/25/2017 Nort	orton, Rita Mitchell, B. orton, Rita Tallamy, Brad	O'Sullivan, Ashley James, Kevin/US Bio Services	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie	the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email among client employees and consultant discussing the need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Fox, Mary/Esq.) regarding policy committee meeting agenda. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy.	Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege	involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6024 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6028	9/25/2017 Nort	orton, Rita Mitchell, B. orton, Rita Tallamy, Brad	O'Sullivan, Ashley James, Kevin/US Bio Services Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie	the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email among client employees and consultant discussing the need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Fox, Mary/Esq.) regarding policy committee meeting agenda. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy. Email with attachments among client employees	Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client	involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6024 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6028	9/25/2017 Nort	orton, Rita Mitchell, B. orton, Rita Tallamy, Brad	O'Sullivan, Ashley James, Kevin/US Bio Services Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie	the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email among client employees and consultant discussing the need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Fox, Mary/Esq.) regarding policy committee meeting agenda. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy.	Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege	involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6024 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6028 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6029	9/25/2017 Nort 9/28/2017 Nort 9/28/2017 Nort	orton, Rita Mitchell, B. orton, Rita Tallamy, Braderton, Rita Mitchell, B.	O'Sullivan, Ashley James, Kevin/US Bio Services Norton, Rita Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad		the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email among client employees and consultant discussing the need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Fox, Mary/Esq.) regarding policy committee meeting agenda. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy.	Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Attorney-Client	involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6024 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6028	9/25/2017 Nort	orton, Rita Mitchell, B. orton, Rita Tallamy, Braderton, Rita Mitchell, B.	O'Sullivan, Ashley James, Kevin/US Bio Services Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie O'Sullivan, Ashley	the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email among client employees and consultant discussing the need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Fox, Mary/Esq.) regarding policy committee meeting agenda. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy.	Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege	involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6024 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6028 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6029	9/25/2017 Nort 9/28/2017 Nort 9/28/2017 Nort	orton, Rita Mitchell, B. orton, Rita Tallamy, Braderton, Rita Mitchell, B.	O'Sullivan, Ashley James, Kevin/US Bio Services Norton, Rita Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad		the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email among client employees and consultant discussing the need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Fox, Mary/Esq.) regarding policy committee meeting agenda. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy.	Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Attorney-Client	involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6024 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6028 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6029	9/25/2017 Nort 9/28/2017 Nort 9/28/2017 Nort	orton, Rita Mitchell, B. orton, Rita Tallamy, Braderton, Rita Mitchell, B.	O'Sullivan, Ashley James, Kevin/US Bio Services Norton, Rita Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad		the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email among client employees and consultant discussing the need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Fox, Mary/Esq.) regarding policy committee meeting agenda. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy. Email among client employees discussing month employees discussing policy.	Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Attorney-Client	involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6024 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6028 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6029 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6030	9/25/2017 Nort 9/28/2017 Nort 9/28/2017 Nort 10/2/2017 Nort	orton, Rita Mitchell, B. orton, Rita Tallamy, Braderton, Rita Mitchell, B. orton, Rita Mitchell, B.	O'Sullivan, Ashley James, Kevin/US Bio Services Norton, Rita Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie	O'Sullivan, Ashley	the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email among client employees and consultant discussing the need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Fox, Mary/Esq.) regarding policy committee meeting agenda. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy. Email among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs	Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Attorney-Client Privilege	involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6024 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6028 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6029	9/25/2017 Nort 9/28/2017 Nort 9/28/2017 Nort	orton, Rita Mitchell, B. orton, Rita Tallamy, Braderton, Rita Mitchell, B. orton, Rita Mitchell, B.	O'Sullivan, Ashley James, Kevin/US Bio Services Norton, Rita Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad		the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email among client employees and consultant discussing the need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Fox, Mary/Esq.) regarding policy committee meeting agenda. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy. Email among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy.	Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege	involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6024 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6028 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6029 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6030	9/25/2017 Nort 9/28/2017 Nort 9/28/2017 Nort 10/2/2017 Nort	orton, Rita Mitchell, B. orton, Rita Tallamy, Braderton, Rita Mitchell, B. orton, Rita Mitchell, B.	O'Sullivan, Ashley James, Kevin/US Bio Services Norton, Rita Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie	O'Sullivan, Ashley	the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email among client employees and consultant discussing the need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Fox, Mary/Esq.) regarding policy committee meeting agenda. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy. Email among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy.	Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Attorney-Client Privilege	involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6024 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6028 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6029 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6030	9/25/2017 Nort 9/28/2017 Nort 9/28/2017 Nort 10/2/2017 Nort	orton, Rita Mitchell, B. orton, Rita Tallamy, Braderton, Rita Mitchell, B. orton, Rita Mitchell, B.	O'Sullivan, Ashley James, Kevin/US Bio Services Norton, Rita Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie	O'Sullivan, Ashley	the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email among client employees and consultant discussing the need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Fox, Mary/Esq.) regarding policy committee meeting agenda. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy. Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy. Email among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy. Email among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy.	Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Attorney-Client Privilege	involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 168-4 Filed 02/21/20 Page 10 of 19 PageID #: 1488

	, ,	•				Transit among client ampleuses discussing		1
						Email among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC		Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly
						- I	Attarnay Cliant	
ABDCMDL PRIV 6033	10/2/2017	Norton, Rita	Benske, John	Mitchell. B.	Heller, Stacie; Norton, Rita	Legal Department regarding government affairs	Attorney-Client Privilege	involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business
ABDCIVIDE_PRIV_6033	10/2/2017	NOTION, KILA	Benske, John	Mitchell, B.	neller, Stacle; Norton, Kita	strategy. Email among client employees and consultant	Privilege	matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
						discussing need for legal advice from in-house		Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party
				White, Joel/Horizon DC; Irizarry,	Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie; O'Sullivan,	counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Senate	Attorney-Client	involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly
ABDCMDL PRIV 6034	10/5/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Steven/Roberti Global	Ashley	committee opioid hearings.	Privilege	involved in communication.
ABBCINDE_I NIV_0034	10/3/2017	ivorton, itita	Witchell, D.	Steveny Nobel tr Global	rancy	Email with attachments among client employees	Tivilege	involved in communication.
						discussing legal advice from NACDS counsel		
						(Nicholson, Kevin/NACDS/Esq.) regarding public		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be
						policy priorities for curbing opioid related	Attorney-Client	directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a
ABDCMDL PRIV 6035	10/8/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie		dependence, overdose and death.	Privilege	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
		·						
								Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Counsel is
					Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Clark, Gina; Moyer,	Email from client to in-house counsel discussing	Attorney-Client	merely copied on communication. Subject of communication involves a
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6039	10/9/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel	Lauren; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris	legal advice regarding Washington Post article.	Privilege	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
				Weissman, Gabriel; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.;		Email with attachment from client to in-house		Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Subject of
				Norton, Rita; Webb, Matthew; Schultz,		counsel seeking legal advice regarding proposed	Attorney-Client	communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6040	10/10/2017	Norton, Rita	Romero, Lauren	Andrew; Clark, Gina; Moyer, Lauren	Putt, Jordan	controlled substance social media strategy.	Privilege	prior discovery rulings.
						Email with attachments among client employees		
						discussing need for legal advice from in-house	L	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
					- " - "	counsel (Casalenuovo, Chris/Esq.) regarding NACDS		of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6041	10/11/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	policy meeting.	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
						Email among client employees discussing strategy	ĺ	Attarney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
						at the direction of in-house counsel (Campbell,	Attorney-Client	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
ARDCMDI RRIV CO43	10/11/2017	Norton Dito	Norton, Rita	Benske, John; Mitchell, Beth	Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; O'Sullivan, Ashley	Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding proposed opioid crisis social media outreach.	Privilege	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6043	10/11/2017	Norton, Kita	Norton, Rita	Benske, John; Mitchell, Beth	Heller, Stacle; Tallamy, Brad; O Sullivan, Ashley	Email among client employees discussing legal	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
						advice from in-house counsel (Campbell,		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
				Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, B.;		Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding controlled substance	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL PRIV 6044	10/11/2017	Norton Pita	Norton, Rita	O'Sullivan, Ashley; Benske, John		social media policy.	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABBCIVIDE_FRIV_0044	10/11/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Mta	O Sullivari, Asiliey, Beriske, John		social media policy.	riiviiege	w/ prior discovery runings.
				Weissman, Gabriel; Barry, Ellen/CAH; Chasen,	Miller, Ruth/HDA; Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Gallenagh,			
				Kristin/McKesson; Slone, P./McKesson;	Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Simonett,	Email with attachments among trade group,		Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys
				Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Callinicos, Sean/CAH;	Farah/HDA DiLoreto, Matthew/HDA; Kozyckyj,	distributors and client employees discussing	Attorney-Client	privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney
				McGrath, Rebecca/CAH; Norton, Rita; Tallamy,	Roxolana/HDA; Krusing, Clare/Reservoir; Schooling,	information prepared at the direction of the ABC	Privilege;	involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6075	10/17/2017	Norton, Rita	Parker, John/HDA	Brad; Nelson, Jennifer/McKesson	Robert/Reservoir; Ward, Mallory/Reservoir	Legal Department regarding draft media response.	Common interest	prior discovery rulings.
					Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Miller, Ruth/HDA;			
				Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Slone,	Cosgrove, Jewelyn/HDA; Downs, Raissa/TDY	Email with attachment among client employees,		Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys
				Pete/McKesson; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad;	Consulting; Young, Jennifer/TDY Consulting; Smith,	consultants, trade group and distributors seeking	Attorney-Client	privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney
			Freitas,	Mitchell, B.; McGrath, R./CAH; Callinicos,	Pamela/TDY Consulting; Menn, Buddy/Brown Rudnick		Privilege;	involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6081	10/18/2017	Norton, Rita	Kristen/HDA	Sean/CAH	Thorsen, Carl/French; French, Alec/French	officials.	Common interest	
				Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Slone,		Email with attachment among client employees,	Attornous Client	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys
			Eroitas	Pete/McKesson; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad;	Gallenagh Elizabeth/Ecg /UDA: Miller Buth/UDA	trade group and distributors discussing need for	Attorney-Client	privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney
ADDCMDL DDIV CORS	10/10/2017	Norton Dito	Freitas,	Mitchell, B.; McGrath, R./CAH; Callinicos,	Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Miller, Ruth/HDA;	legal advice from the ABC Legal Department	Privilege;	involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6082	10/18/2017	NOTION, KILA	Kristen/HDA	Sean/CAH	Cosgrove, Jewelyn/HDA	regarding change to Senate bill.	common interest	prior discovery rulings.
				Parker, John/HDA; Weissman, Gabriel; Barry,				
				Ellen/CAH; Chasen, Kristin/McKesson; Slone,	Miller, Ruth/HDA; Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Gallenagh,		1	
				P./McKesson; Ganley, Joe/McKesson;	Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Simonett,	Email with attachment among consultant, outside	1	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys
					Farah/HDA DiLoreto, Matthew/HDA; Kozyckyj,	counsel, client employees, trade group and	Attorney-Client	privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney
	J l		Krising,	Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Nelson,	Roxolana/HDA; Krusing, Clare/Reservoir; Schooling,	distributors discussing need for legal advice	Privilege;	involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/
ABDCMDL PRIV 6083	10/18/2017	Norton, Rita	Clare/Reservoir	Jennifer/McKesson	Robert/Reservoir; Ward, Mallory/Reservoir	regarding revised language for Senate bill.	Common interest	prior discovery rulings.
- · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10,10,2017		5.2. 5, Neser 1011		,,,,, ,	Email among trade group, distributors and client		Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys
				Callinicos, Sean/CAH; McGrath, R./CAH; Slone,		employees discussing information prepared at the	Attorney-Client	privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney
			Freitas,	P./McKesson; Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Norton,	Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Cosgrove,	direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding	Privilege;	involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6091	10/18/2017	Norton, Rita	Kristen/HDA	Rita; Tallamy, Brad	Jewelyn/HDA; Miller, Ruth	response to letter from elected official.	· ·	
						Email with attachments from consultant to client		
						discussing need for legal advice from outside	1	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication
			Simpson,			counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law)	Attorney-Client	involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not
ABDCMDL PRIV 6092	10/18/2017	Norton, Rita	Al/Mercury, LLC	Norton, Rita		regarding proposed changes to Senate bill.	Privilege	apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
						Email among client employees discussing need for		
	l l							
						legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe,		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
ABDCMDL PRIV 6093		Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad		legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law) regarding proposed changes to Senate bill.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 168-4 Filed 02/21/20 Page 11 of 19 PageID #: 1489

T		1	T	T		1	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6105	10/20/2017 Norton, Rita	Ganley, Joseph/McKesson	Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Drew, Gregory/Value Drug; Harrison, Hal/Mutual Drug; Foreman, Jeffrey/Smith Drug; Smith, C./HD Smith; Norton, Rita; Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Slone, Pete/McKesson	Lavella, Patrick/Value Drug; Kotecha, Kaushik/Smith Drug; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, B.; Kozyckyj, Roxolana/HDA; Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; DiLoreto, Matthew/HDA; Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Miller, Ruth/HDA; Gray, John/HDA; Parker, John/HDA; McGrath, Rebecca/CAH	Email with attachment from distributor to outside counsel, distributors and trade group seeking legal advice regarding draft HDA solutions document.		Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/t prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6106	10/20/2017 Norton, Rita	Ganley, Joseph/McKesson	Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Drew, Gregory/Value Drug; Harrison, Hal/Mutual Drug; Foreman, Jeffrey/Smith Drug; Smith, C./HD Smith; Norton, Rita; Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Slone, Pete/McKesson	Lavella, Patrick/Value Drug; Kotecha, Kaushik/Smith Drug; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, B.; Kozyckyj, Roxolana/HDA; Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; DiLoreto, Matthew/HDA; Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Miller, Ruth/HDA; Gray, John/HDA; Parker, John/HDA; McGrath, Rebecca/CAH	Email with attachment from distributor to outside counsel, distributors and trade group seeking legal advice regarding draft HDA solutions document.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/t prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6107	10/20/2017 Norton, Rita	Smith, Chris/HD Smith	Ganley, Joseph/McKesson	Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Drew, Gregory/Value Drug; Harrison, Hal/Mutual Drug; Foreman, Jeffrey/Smith Drug; Norton, Rita; Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Slone, Pete/McKesson; Lavella, Patrick/Value Drug; Kotecha, Kaushik/Smith Drug; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, B.; Kozyckyj, Roxolana/HDA; Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; DiLoreto, Matthew/HDA; Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Miller, Ruth; Gray, John/HDA; Parker, John/HDA; McGrath, R./CAH	Email from client to outside counsel and distributors seeking legal advice regarding draft HDA solutions document.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/t prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6136	10/24/2017 Norton, Rita	Kelly, Patrick/HDA	Drew, Gregory/Value Drug; Harrison, Hal/Mutual Drug; Foreman, Jeff/Smith Drug; Smith, C./HD SMith; Norton, Rita; Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Slone, Pete/McKesson	Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Lavella, Patrick/Value Drug; Kotecha, Kaushik/Smith Drug; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, B.; Kozyckyj, Roxolana/HDA; Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; DiLoreto, Matthew/HDA; Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Miller, Ruth/HDA; Gray, John/HDA; Parker, John/HDA; McGrath, Rebecca/CAH; Tallamy, Brad; Frank, Richard/Esq./OFW Law; Pahl, T./Esq/OFW Law; Ritter, Pam/HDA	Email with attachments from trade group to outside counsel, distributors and consultant seeking legal advice regarding HDA practical solutions document.	Privilege;	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/t prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6189	12/5/2017 Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Slone, P./McKesson; Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Brandewie, Claire/McKesson; O'Sullivan, Ashley; Mitchell, B.; Callinicos, Sean/CAH; McGrath, R./CAH; Brimmer, Molly/CAH	Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Cosgrove, Jewelyn/HDA	Email among client employees, outside counsel, trade group and distributors discussing need for legal advice regarding strategy for upcoming Congressional hearings.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/t prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6209	12/20/2017 Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Slone, Pete/McKesson	Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Brandewie, Claire/McKesson; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell, Beth; Brimmer, Molly/CAH; Menn, Buddy/Esq./Brown Rudnick	Email from client to consultant and distributors discussing information at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding the Hassan meeting on the opioid crisis.		Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/t prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6232	2/27/2018 Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Norton, Rita		Email from trade group to client discussing legal advice sought from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding federal legislation	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6240	3/3/2018 Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Norton, Rita; Rebecca McGrath, Rebecca/CAH; Slone, Pete; Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Brandewie, Claire/McKesson; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell, Beth	Downs, Raissa/TDY; Young, Jennifer/TDY; Ivancic, C./TDY; Smith, Pamela/TDY Consulting; Murray, E./TDY; Thorsen, Carl/Thorsen-French; French, Alec/French; Menn, Buddy/Esq./Brown Rudnick; Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Miller, Ruth	Email with attachments from trade group to outside counsel, consultants and distributors seeking legal advice regarding DOJ letter.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common Interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/t prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6241	3/4/2018 Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Norton, Rita; Rebecca McGrath, Rebecca/CAH; Slone, Pete; Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Brandewie, Claire/McKesson; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell, Beth	Downs, Raissa/TDY; Young, Jennifer/TDY; Ivancic, C./TDY; Smith, Pamela/TDY Consulting; Murray, E./TDY; Thorsen, Carl/Thorsen-French; French, Alec/French; Menn, Buddy/Esq./Brown Rudnick	Email from trade group to outside counsel, distributors and consultant seeking legal advice regarding DOJ letter	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/t prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6250	3/13/2018 Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Callinicos, Sean/CAH; McGrath, R./CAH; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad, Slone, P./McKesson; Ganley, Joe/McKesson	Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Cosgrove, Jewelyn/HDA; Miller, Ruth/HDA	Email from trade group to counsel and distributors regarding proposed modifications to S. 483.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/t prior discovery rulings.

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 168-4 Filed 02/21/20 Page 12 of 19 PageID #: 1490

ABDCMDL PRIV 6252		3/14/2018	Norton, Rita	Reese, Linda	Tallamy, Brad; Norton, Rita; Meehan, Fallon	O'Sullivan Ashley	temail among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding feedback for House Ways and Means Committee on solutions to opioid epidemic.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ADDCIVIDE_FIXIV_0232		3/14/2018	Norton, Rita	Reese, Linua	railarity, brad, Norton, Nita, Weenan, Failon	O Sullivari, Ashley	ериенис.	rrivilege	wy prior discovery runnigs.
					Bronfein, Alan/Remedinx; Gay, B. Gaycohealthcare; Mitchell, Beth; Bill Maguire, Bill/OmniCell; Rowland, Bob/Grane RX; Warnock, Robert/Pruitthealth; Weir, Bob				
					Guardian Pharmacy; Scott, Brian/Kinneydrugs; Brian Kramer, Brian/Forumpharmacy; Lewis, Dave/Consonus; Farber, David/Esq./KS Law; Towns, Doug/Guardian pharmacy; Nishi, Karen/Cubexsystem; Garza, Kim/Innovatix; Avdiu, Labi; Connor, Lynn/SCP-Rx; Ludlow,				
					Mary/Wopharmacy; Templin, Michelle/MHA; Brattain, Patty/PharMerica; McKeon, Rich/McKesson; Miller, Rick; Norton, Rita; Hancock, Scott/PropacPayless Pharmacy;				
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6256		3/15/2018	Norton, Rita	Rosenbloom, Alan/Senior Care Pharmacies	Thayer, Scott; Siegel, Shara/Innovatix; Rhodus, Susan/GeriMed; Voelkl, Todd/Buffalo Pharmacies; Caneris, Tom/PharMerica; Galletta, Vince/Buffalo Pharmacies		Email with attachment from pharmacy to outside counsel and client seeking legal advice regarding opioid legislation.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common Interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
						Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Slone, Pete/McKesson; Kelly,	Email from client to outside counsel, distributors and trade group seeking legal advice regarding	Attorney-Client Privilege;	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6329		5/2/2018	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA	Patrick/HDA; Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Miller, Ruth/HDA	Energy and Commerce Committee hearing.		prior discovery rulings.
							Email reflecting legal advice sought from counsel (Johnson, Scott/Esq.; Chou, John/Esq.) regarding	Attorney-Client	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6376		4/23/2010	Due Diligence Files	Tomkiewicz, Joe	Hazewski, Edward		Acme #30 drug diversion investigation.	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
							Draft communication attached to privileged email seeking legal advice from counsel (Greenhall,		No author idntified. No recipients identified. Subject involves a
ABDCMDL PRIV 6377		6/24/2013	Due Diligence Files				Richard/Esq.; Nachman, Michael/Esq.) regarding low volume, high risk accounts.	Attorney-Client Privilege	business/regulatory matter, not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
7.55 G.M.S.E_T. W.V_0077		0,24,2013	and a singerior times				Draft talking points attached to privileged email seeking legal advice from counsel (Greenhall, Richard/Esq.; Nachman, Michael/Esq.) regarding	Attorney-Client	No author idntified. No recipients identified. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6378		6/24/2013	Due Diligence Files				low volume, high risk accounts.	Privilege	prior discovery rulings.
				Michael Mapes (CSRA DEA			Report prepared at the direction of counsel (Debra Swartz, Esq VP & Associate General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program	Attorney-Client	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6392	ABDCMDL00037421	12/16/2009	AmerisourceBergen	Consultant)			Review.	Privilege	business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
				Michael Mapes		Chris Zimmerman (VP - CSRA & Chief Compliance Officer);	Report prepared at the direction of counsel (Debra- Swartz, Esq VP & Associate General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication
ADDCAADL DDU' CCCC		44 /- /		(CSRA DEA	Debra Swartz, Esq. (VP & Associate General	Steve Mays (Director - Regulatory Affairs);	of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program	Attorney-Client	involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6393	ABDCMDL00037421	11/8/2010	AmerisourceBergen	Consultant)	Counsel)	Ed Hazewski (Manager - CSRA II)	Review. Response to report prepared at the direction of	Privilege	rulings.
				Ed Hazewski (Manager - CSRA	Debra Swartz, Esq. (VP & Associate General	Chris Zimmerman (VP - CSRA & Chief Compliance Officer); Steve Mays (Director - Regulatory Affairs);	counsel (Debra Swartz, Esq VP & Associate General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order	Attorney-Client	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6394	ABDCMDL00037421	1/6/2011	AmerisourceBergen	II)	Counsel)	Paul Ross (Director - Regulatory Affairs)	Monitoring Program Review.	Privilege	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
				Michael Mapes			(Elizabeth Campbell, Esq Assistant General Counsel) providing information to assist with the		
ABDCMDL PRIV 6395	ABDCMDL00037421	7/27/2012	AmerisourceBergen	(CSRA DEA Consultant)	Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. (Assistant General Counsel)		rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program Review.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 168-4 Filed 02/21/20 Page 13 of 19 PageID #: 1491

						Chris Zimmerman (VP - CSRA & Chief Compliance	Response to report prepared at the direction of		
				Ed Hazewski		Officer);	counsel (Elizabeth Campbell, Esq Assistant		
				(Director -		Steve Mays (Director - Regulatory Affairs);	General Counsel) providing information to assist		
				Diversion Control	Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. (Assistant General	Joe Tomkiewicz (Corporate Investigator I);	with the rendering of legal advice re Order	Attorney-Client	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6396	ABDCMDL00037421	11/26/2012	AmerisourceBergen	& Security)	Counsel)	Michael Mapes (CSRA DEA Consultant)	Monitoring Program Review.	Privilege	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
							Report prepared at the direction of counser (Mary		
							Fox, Esq VP - Deputy General/Group Counsel;		
				Ed Hazewski	Mary Fox, Esq. (VP - Deputy General/Group		Elizabeth Campbell, Esq Assistant General		
				(Director -	Counsel);		Counsel) providing information to assist with the		
				Diversion Control	Chris Zimmerman (VP - CSRA & Chief	Steve Mays (Director - Regulatory Affairs);	rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring	Attorney-Client	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6397	ABDCMDL00037421	8/9/2013	AmerisourceBergen	& Security)	Compliance Officer)	Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. (Assistant General Counsel)	Program Review.	Privilege	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
							Response to report prepared at the direction of		
				Ed Hazewski	Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. (VP & Associate		counsel (Elizabeth Campbell, Esq VP & Associate		
				(Director -		Steve Mays (Director - Regulatory Affairs);	General Counsel) providing information to assist		
				Diversion Control	Chris Zimmerman (VP - CSRA & Chief	Joe Tomkiewicz (Corporate Investigator I);	with the rendering of legal advice re Order	Attorney-Client	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6398	ABDCMDL00037421	11/1/2013	AmerisourceBergen	& Security)	Compliance Officer)	Michael Mapes (CSRA DEA Consultant)	Monitoring Program Review.	Privilege	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
						01 : 7:			
						Chris Zimmerman (VP - CSRA & Chief Compliance			
						Officer);	Report prepared at the direction of counsel		
1				1		Steve Mays (Director - Regulatory Affairs);	(Elizabeth Campbell, Esq VP & Associate General		
				Michael Mapes		David May (Sr. Director - Diversion Control & Federal	Counsel) providing information to assist with the		
				(CSRA DEA	Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. (VP & Associate	Investigations);	rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring	Attorney-Client	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6399	ABDCMDL00037421	7/27/2014	AmerisourceBergen	Consultant)	General Counsel);	Ed Hazewski (Director - Diversion Control & Security)	Program Review.	Privilege	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
						Chris Zimmerman (VP - CSRA & Chief Compliance	Report prepared at the direction of counsel		
						Officer);	(Elizabeth Campbell, Esq SVP - Group General		
						Steve Mays (Director - Regulatory Affairs);	Counsel) providing information to assist with the		
					Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. (SVP - Group General	David May (Sr. Director - Diversion Control & Federal	rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring	Attorney-Client	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6400	ABDCMDL00037421	1/31/2017	AmerisourceBergen	DEA Consultant)	Counsel)	Investigations)	Program Review.	Privilege	legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
									Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
						Chou, John/Esq.; Howell, Peyton; Norton, Rita;	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6406		1/11/2014	Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Collis, Steve; Neu, Dave	Zimmerman, Chris; Caffentzis, Anthony; Guttman, Tim		Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
							Email with attachments from client to in-house		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
							counsel (Fox, Mary/Esq.) seeking legal advice	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6411		9/23/2014	Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Bennett, Bruce		regarding CSRA audit of distribution center.	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
						Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Ball, Chuck; Schmidt,	Email with attachments from client to in-house		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
						Andrew; Zimmerman, Chris; Miller, Mike; Williamson,	counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit	Attorney-Client	business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6419		5/8/2015	Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Fox, Mary/Esq.	Joe; Garland, Mike; Fisher, Marian	of distribution center.	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
					Altrogge, Jeannine; Collis, Steve; Marsh,				
	1				== '				
	1				Lawrence; Guttman, Tim; Barry, June; Clark,				
	1				Gina; Danilewitz, Dale; Bausinger, Vicki/Legal				
	1				Department; Zimmerman, Chris; Greenhall,				
	1				Richard/Esq.; Fox, Mary/Esq.; Gaddes, Kathy;				
					Stone, Rob/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.;				
	1				Davey, Vanessa; Ouchley, Jeremy/Esq.;		Email with attachments from in-house counsel to		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
1					Krikorian, Laz; Nguyen, Thanh; Mauch, Robert;		client providing legal advice regarding CSRA FY16	Attorney-Client	business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is
	1	5/22/2245	Mauch, Robert	Chou, John/Esq.	Frary, James; Howell, Peyton; Senior, David		Plan.	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL PRIV 6436		6/22/2015	iviaucii, Nobel t				1		
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6436		6/22/2015	Maucii, Robert	criou, sorm, Esq.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6436		6/22/2015	Maucii, Robeit	criou, sorriy Esq.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6436		6/22/2015	iwaucii, Robert	Criou, John Esq.	7,000		Email from client discussing legal advice from in-		Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6436 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6437			Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Brungess, Barbara		Email from client discussing legal advice from in- house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding HDMA Executive Committee Meeting.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 168-4 Filed 02/21/20 Page 14 of 19 PageID #: 1492

				T	1	T	1	
				DeMartino, John; Byrne, Thomas; Mauch,				
				Robert; Cherveny, Eric; Ball, Chuck; Gaal, Gre	g;			
				Caffentzis, Anthony; Wetzel, Tim; Jackson, Jir				
				Senior, David; Lightfoot, Emily; Miller, Mike;				
				Zimmerman, Chris; Wiggs, John; Caroline,				
				David/Esq.; Manning, Kathie; Greenhall,				
				Richard/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.;				
				Meluso, Ginette; Mays, Steve; Chou,				
				John/Esq.; May, David; Winter, Matthew;				
				Nachman, Michael/Esq.; Bennett, Bruce; Cox	,			Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
				Kate; Duncan, Francine; Hendrickson,		Email with attachments from client to in-house	Attorney-Client	business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Description is overly vague.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6443	7/8/2015	Mauch, Robert	Mansfield, Denise	Stephen; Bak, Hyung/Esq.		counsel seeking legal advice regarding PMO.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
						Email from in-house counsel to client providing	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6449	7/20/2015	Mauch, Robert	Chou, John/Esq.	Brungess, Barbara; Mauch, Robert	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	legal advice regarding HDMA public relations.	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
						Email from client discussing legal advice from in-	Attarnay Cliant	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication
ABDCMDL PRIV 6453	7/20/2015	Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Gray, John/HDMA	Meehan, Fallon	counsel (Bak, Hyung/Esq.) regarding HDMA public relations.	Attorney-Client Privilege	involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCIVIDE_FRIV_0433	7/20/2013	iviaucii, Robert	Maucii, Robert	Gray, John J Holvia	Weerlan, Fallon	relations.	Frivilege	ruilligs.
					Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Schmidt, Andrew;	Email with attachments from client to in-house		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
					Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Ball, Chuck; Bennett,	counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit	Attorney-Client	business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Description is overly vague.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6454	7/24/2015	Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Fox, Mary/Esq.	Bruce; Rehovsky, Jeffery; Brown, Greg; Olmo, Marisol	of distribution center.	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
					Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Schmidt, Andrew;	Email with attachments from client to in-house		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
1			L	,_		counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit	Attorney-Client	business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Description is overly vague.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6455	//31/2015	Mauch, Robert	Taraschi, Anthony	Fox, Mary/Esq.	Bruce; Rehovsky, Jeffery; Cook, Brent; Walker, Jeff	of distribution center. Email chain with attachments between client and in	Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
						house counsel (Fox, Mary/Esq.) discussing legal	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL PRIV 6457	8/18/2015	Mauch, Robert	Droz, Antonio	Mauch, Robert		advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center.	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABBCMBE_I MV_0437	0, 10, 2013	ividucii, Nobelt	DIOE, AIRONIO	Maderi, Robert		davice regarding constitution of distribution center.	TTIVILEBE	Wy prior discovery runnigs.
				Gray, John/HDMA; Smith, Dale/HD Smith;				Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Third party
				Moody, David/Mutual Drug; Giacomin,	Voeller, Jan/Dak Drug; Gabay, Kim/Cardinal; Mariano,	Email from client discussing legal advice from in-	1	involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business/regulatory
				Jon/Cardinal; Couch, Ken; Walchirk,	Karleen/McKesson; Meehan, Fallon; 'Tara Conway,	house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding	Attorney-Client	matter, and not a legal one. Description is overly vague. Privilege claim is
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6466	1/18/2016	Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Mark/McKesson; Scherr, Ted/Dak Drug	Tara; Watson, Jessica/Mutual Drug	Masters Pharmaceuticals case.	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
			Gallonagh			Email with attachments from in house several to	Attornov Client	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a
			Gallenagh,			Email with attachments from in-house counsel to	Attorney-Client	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a
ABDCMDL PRIV 6473	1/1/2016	Mauch, Robert	Elizabeth/Esq./HD MA	Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDMA	Gray, John/HDMA	client providing legal advice regarding Masters Pharmaceuticals case.	Privilege; Work Product	business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Description is overly vague. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ADDCIVIDE_PRIV_04/3	4/4/2016	iviaucii, Kobert	IVIA	Galleriagii, Elizabetii/ESQ./HDIVIA	Gray, Johny HDIVIA	rnannaceuticals case.	rioduct	rrivilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.

			1					
				Thomas, Al/Sanofi Pasteur; Mauch, Robert; Boyter, Dawn/Glasgow KY; Gielen, Chad/LWDRX; Phillips, Chip/Andanet; Smith, Dale; MacFarlane, Dawe/SeaCoast Medical; Ferraro, Louis/Henry Schien; Gray, John/HDMA; Drew, Greg/Value Drug; Foreman, Jeff/Smith Drug; Johnston, Gayle/Cura Script; Giacomin, Jon/Cardinal; Couch, Ken; Lazich, Sam/DMS Pharma; Rodriguez, Lenny/Attain Med; Burns,				
				Maria/Burlington Drug; Walchirk, Mark/McKesson; Smith, Mary/RS Northeast;				
				Faul, Michael/Miami Luken; Dickson, Paul; Rodriguez, Raul/Droguería Betances; Richards,				
				GK; Scherr, Ted/Dak Drug; Schoen, Chris/Prescription Supply; Franklin,				Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Third party
				Scott/Toprx; Shikany, Walter/Health Coalition; Smock, Nick/PBA Health; Szewc,		Email attaching memorandum from outside counsel (Hyman Phelps and McNamara) discussing legal	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work	involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Description is overly vague. Privilege claim is
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6474	4/29/2016	6 Mauch, Robert	Gray, John/HDMA	Todd/Keysource Medical		advice regarding Public Law 114-145 (S.483).	Product	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
					May, David; Weissman, Gabriel; Brungess, Barbara; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Mauch, Robert; Chou,	Email chain between client and in-house counsel	Attornov Cliont	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL PRIV 6481	5/27/2016	6 Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina	Moyer, Lauren	John/Esq.; Norton, Rita	discussing legal advice regarding Charleston Gazette Mail article.	Attorney-Client Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABBENBE_1 NIV_0401	3/21/2010	ividuen, nobert	Cidrk, Giria	Noyer, Eduren	Johny Esq., Norton, Nita	duzette Maii articie.	TTIVILEGE	wy prior discovery runnigs.
				Clark, Gina; May, David; Weissman, Gabriel;		Email chain with attachments between client and in-	Attorney-Client	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
	- (- : (- : :			Brungess, Barbara; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.;			Privilege; Work	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6482	5/31/2016	6 Mauch, Robert	Moyer, Lauren	Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Norton, Rita Hua, Wen; Collis, Steve; Guttman, Tim;		Lexington Herald Leader article.	Product	discovery rulings.
				Norton, Rita; Davis, Laura; Peters, Michael;	Krikorian, Laz; Senior, David; Frary, James; Morales,	Email with attachments from client to in-house	Attorney-Client	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
			Altrogge,	Barry, June; Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina;		counsel seeking legal advice regarding Government	Privilege; Work	business matter, and not a legal one. Description is overly vague. Privilege
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6486	6/16/2016	6 Mauch, Robert	Jeannine	Danilewitz, Dale	Mauch, Robert	Affairs presentation.	Product	claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
					Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Weissman, Gabriel; Moyer,			large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Attoreny involvment appears indirect. Subject involves a business matter, and not a
ADDCAADL DDIV CAOO	6/22/2014	C. Marrick Dalbant	7i	Davis and Davis and	Lauren; May, David; Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq.;	Email chain between client and in-house counsel	Attorney-Client	legal one. Description is overly vague. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6490	6/22/2016	6 Mauch, Robert	Zimmerman, Chris	Brungess, Barbara	Guttman, Tim; Mauch, Robert; Norton, Rita	discussing legal advice regarding media inquiry.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
					Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Schmidt, Andrew;			
						Email with attachments from client to in-house		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
ADDCAADL DDIV CEOO	6/20/2014	C. Marrick Dalbant	Madaa Gaa	Canada II. Elizabath /Fan	Bruce; Delac, Frank; DiCenso, Frank; Hamilton, Greg;		Attorney-Client	business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6500	6/30/2016	6 Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Taraschi, Anthony; Ford, Stephanie Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Schmidt, Andrew;	of distribution center.	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
					Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Ball, Chuck; Bennett,	Email with attachments from client to in-house		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
APDCMDL DRIV CEGA	8/44/2044	Mauch Bobart	Madean Cras		Bruce; Delac, Frank; Novak, Dawn; Taraschi, Anthony;		Attorney-Client	business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6504	8/11/2016	6 Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Strelow, Nancy Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Schmidt, Andrew;	of distribution center.	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
					Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Ball, Chuck; Miller,	Email with attachments from client to in-house		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
					Mike; Wilson, Bill; McGuire, Shawn; Jessee, John;		Attorney-Client	business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6506	9/12/2016	6 Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Grennon, Christy	of distribution center.	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
				Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Collis, Steve; Danilewitz, Dale; Frary, James; Gaddes, Kathy;		Email with attachments from client to in-house	Attorney-Client	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6518	10/27/2016	6 Mauch, Robert	Chrisman, Kelly	Guttman, Tim; Howell, Peyton; Cleary, Jim; Mauch, Robert; Park, Sun	Norton, Rita	counsel seeking legal advice regarding Government Affairs presentation.	Privilege; Work Product	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
				Norton, Rita; May, David; Brungess, Barbara;				
				Clark, Gina; Zimmerman, Chris; Chou, John/Esq.; Moyer, Lauren; Campbell,				Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
			Weissman,	Elizabeth/Esq.; Guttman, Tim; Mauch, Robert;		Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal	Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6519	10/27/2016	6 Mauch, Robert	Gabriel	Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad			Privilege	discovery rulings.
•		•						

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 168-4 Filed 02/21/20 Page 16 of 19 PageID #: 1494

Company Comp					1	Email with attachments between client and in-	Attorney-Client	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
MCCOOL_MIN_SET_			Weissman,	Howell, Peyton; Zimmerman, Chris; Mauch,	Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.;		-	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior
	ABDCMDL_PRIV_6526	11/1/2016 Mauch, Robert	Gabriel		Moyer, Lauren; Tallamy, Brad			
10000000 10000000000000000000000000					Clark, Gina; Mauch, Robert; Norton, Rita; Campbell,	Email with attachments between client and in-	Attorney-Client	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
MASSASS No. 693					Elizabeth/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris; Tallamy, Brad;	house counsel discussing legal advice regarding	Privilege; Work	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior
Miles	ABDCMDL_PRIV_6527	11/1/2016 Mauch, Robert	Howell, Peyton	Weissman, Gabriel	Pellicano, Debora; Moyer, Lauren			discovery rulings.
Approx. Part							-	
Married Marr								
April Control Contro	ABDCMDL_PRIV_6528	11/5/2016 Mauch, Robert	Gabriel	Mauch, Robert	Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita	HDA industry talking points.	Product	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
April Control Contro						Email from in house counsel to client discussing	Attornov Client	Subject involves a husiness matter, and not a logal one. Drivilege claim is
Control of the Prince of the	ARDOMDI BRIV 6520	11/6/2016 Mauch Bohort	Chou John/Eca	Mauch Robert	Clark Gina	_		
## April 1967/2016 1967/2016	ABDCIVIDE_PRIV_0329	11/0/2010 Mauch, Robert	Cilou, Joilit/ESq.	Madeli, Robert	Clark, Gilla			inconsistent w/ prior discovery runnigs.
ABCOCKE_PRE_COST 11/ACTION_Abush, Robert Specific Cost., planking Cost.			Weissman				-	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is
SECOLO, PRIV 533 11/2/2010 blanch, habert	ABDCMDL PRIV 6530	11/6/2016 Mauch, Robert		Mauch, Robert: Chou, John/Esq.	Clark, Gina: Norton, Rita			· · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ADCOCKE, PMS, SEST 1/7/2006 Masch, Rebert Class, Glassie Classie Class		,-,						
11/0/2010 Maych, fabert Cross Johnson, Caterolar Construction, Caterolar Const						discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is
ABCOULD_PWC_6539 11/6/2013 Mauch, Robert 11/7/2013 Mauch, Robert 11/	ABDCMDL PRIV 6531	11/6/2016 Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina	Weissman, Gabriel	Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Norton, Rita	talking points.	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
1/1/2013 Macci, Robert One, you fig. 1/1/2014 Macci, Robert One, you fig. 2/1/2014 Ma						Email chain with attachments between client and in	Attorney-Client	
116/2000 Masch, Rabert Osu, John File Care Park (Tanks), Morter, Care Clark, John File C				Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris;	Weissman, Gabriel; Norton, Rita; Clark, Gina; Mauch,	house counsel discussing legal advice regarding	Privilege; Work	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is
ABCOLIO, PRIV (E33) 11/7/2016 Mauch, Robert	ABDCMDL_PRIV_6532	11/6/2016 Mauch, Robert	Chou, John/Esq.	May, David	Robert	,	Product	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
11/7/2016 Masch, Robert 1 11/7/2016 Masch, Robe								
ABCCMDL_PRIV_GSS 11/7/2006 Mosesh, Robert 11/7								· · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ADCMOL PRIV GS34 11/7/2016 Masch, Robert 11/	ABDCMDL_PRIV_6533	11/6/2016 Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Weissman, Gabriel	Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita	talking points.	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
Schechter, Lovi/Mcessary (Westinam, Cabrier, MacCould, PRIV 6534 11/7/2016 Masch, Robert 11/7/				Barry Ellon/Cardinal: Morford Craig/Cardinal				
ABCHOMD_PRIV_6534 11/7/2016 Mauch, Robert 11/7/2016 Ma						Email chain between client and in-house counsel		Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication
ABCOND, PRIV, 6334 11/7/2016 Mauch, Robert Obou, John/Ess, March, Robert Obou, John/Ess, Mauch,							Attorney-Client	
## ABCMDL_PRIV_6355 ## 117/2016 Mauch, Robert Notron, Rita 117/2016 Mauch, Robert Clark, Gina, Chou, John/Eq.; Mauch, Robert Clark, Gina Notron, Rita 117/2016 Mauch, Robert Notron, Rita	ARDOMDI PRIV 6534	11/7/2016 Mauch Pohert	Chou John/Esa					=
ABDCMOL_PRIV_6335 11/7/2016 Mauch, Robert Norten, Rist Clark, Ginay Chou, John/Eq.; Mauch, Robert Sand, Clark, Ginay Chan, John/Eq.; Mauch, Robert Sand, Clark, Ginay Sand, Robert Sand, Sand, Ro	ABBCIVIBE_I NIV_0334	11/7/2010 Maden, Robert	criou, sorriy Esq.	Scarly caramar, clark, dina, Norton, nita			TTIVILEGE	rumigs.
ABCOMDL_PRIV_6536 11/7/2016 Mauch, Robert Clark, Gina Chou, John/Esq. Mauch, Robert Clark, Gina Norton, Rita Clark, Gina Clark, Gina Norton, Rita							Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is
ABCMOL PRV 6536 11/7/7016 Mauch, Robert Clark, Gina Norton, Rita Clark, Gina Norton, Rita Clark, Gina Clark, Gina Clark, Gina Norton, Rita	ABDCMDL PRIV 6535	11/7/2016 Mauch, Robert	Norton, Rita	Clark, Gina: Chou, John/Esq.: Mauch, Robert				
ABCMOL_PRIV_6536 11/7/2016 Mauch, Robert Clark, Gina Notron, Rita Chou, John/Esq. Mauch, Robert Clark, Gina Notron, Rita Chou, John/Esq. March, Robert Clark, Gina, Notron, Rita Chou, John/Esq. March, Robert Clark, Gina Notron, Rita Chou, John/Esq. March, Robert Clark, Gina, Notron, Rita Chou, John/Esq. March, Robert Cl								, p
ABCMDL_PRIV_6337 ABCMDL_PRIV_6338 ABCMDL_PRIV_6348 ABCMDL_PRIV_6349 ABCMDL_PRIV_6349 ABCMDL_PRIV_6349 ABCMDL_PRIV_6349 ABCMDL_PRIV_6349 ABCMDL_PRIV_6349 ABCMDL_PRIV_6349 ABCMDL_PRIV_6349 ABCMDL_PRIV_6346 ABCMDL_PRIV_6347 ABCMDL_PRIV_6348 ABCMDL_PRIV_6348 ABCMDL_PRIV_6347 ABCMDL_PRIV_6348 ABCMDL_PRIV_6348 ABCMDL_PRIV_6348 ABCMDL_PRIV_6348 ABCMDL_PRIV_6348 ABCMDL_PRIV_6347 ABCMDL_PRIV_6347 ABCMDL_PRIV_6347 ABCMDL_PRIV_6348 ABCMDL_PRIV_						discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6537 11/7/2016 Mauch, Robert 11/7/2016 Ma	ABDCMDL_PRIV_6536	11/7/2016 Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina	Norton, Rita; Chou, John/Esq.; Mauch, Robert		talking points.	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABCMDL_PRIV_6537 11/7/2016 Mauch, Robert 11/7/2016 Mauch, Robert 2016; Severe Guttman, Imm; Chou, John/Esq. 2016; Mauch, Robert 2016; Mauch,								
Coirs, Serve; Suttman, Imm, Chou, Johny Esq.; Danilewth, Dale, Petro, Petro, Sun; Mauch, Robert 11/7/2016 Mauch, Robert 11/7/2						discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry		Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6538 11/7/2016 Mauch, Robert Clark, Gina Email with a texthements from client to in-house counsel scheding legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involved business, matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent wy for discovery rulings. Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert Clark, Gina; Notron, Rita Clark, Gina; Notron, Information Clark, Gina; Notron, Rita Clark, Gina; Notron, Information Clark, Gina; Not	ABDCMDL_PRIV_6537	11/7/2016 Mauch, Robert	Norton, Rita		David; Mauch, Robert	talking points.	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6538 11/7/2016 Mauch, Robert 11/14/2016						Empil with attachments from alignt to in house	Attarnay Cliant	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Cubicat involves a
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6538 11/7/2015 Mauch, Robert Clark, Gina Gaddes, Kathy Clark, Gina talking points. Product discovery rulings. ABDCMDL_PRIV_6539 11/7/2015 Mauch, Robert Chou, John/Esq. Mauch, Robert Clark, Gina, Warch, Robert Clark, Gina, Chou, John/Esq. ABDCMDL_PRIV_6546 11/29/2016 Mauch, Robert Madsen, Greg Clampbell, Elizabeth/Esq. Philip; Price, William, Tarsschi, Anthony; Hinman, Julie of Standard Clark, Gina, Chou, John/Esq. ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 12/6/2016 Mauch, Robert Mauch, Robert Mauch, Robert Clark, Gina, Chou, John/Esq. Weissman, Weissman, Gusta, Maych, George Clampbell, Elizabeth/Esq. Weissman, Gusta, Maych, Robert, Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq. Weissman, Gusta, Maych, Robert, Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq. Weissman, Warch, Robert, Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq. Weissman, Gusta, Maych, Robert, Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq. Weissman, Warch, Robert, Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq. Weissman, Warch, Robert, Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq. W								_ = : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6539 11/7/2016 Mauch, Robert Chou, John/Esq. Mauch, Robert Madsen, Greg Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq. Mauch, Robert Madsen, Greg Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq. Philip; Price, William; Taraschi, Anthony; Hinman, Linguistic Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq. Philip; Price, William; Taraschi, Anthony; Hinman, Linguistic Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq. Philip; Price, William; Taraschi, Anthony; Hinman, Linguistic Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq. Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq. Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq. Philip; Price, William; Taraschi, Anthony; Hinman, Linguistic Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq. C	ADDOMDI DBIV 6539	11/7/2016 Mauch Bohort	Clark Gina		Clark Gina			
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6539 11/7/2016 Mauch, Robert Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Greene, Karen; Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve, Russell, Tiffany; Ball, Chuck; Bennett, Swey, Miller, Milke; Wilson, Bill; Stavich, John; Neipo, Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq. ABDCMDL_PRIV_6546 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6549 ABDCMDL_P	ABDCIVIDE_PRIV_0538	11/7/2010 Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gilla	daddes, Ratily	Clark, Gilla		FIOUUCE	uiscovery runnigs.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6549 11/7/2016 Mauch, Robert Chou, John/Esq. Maden, Robert Chou, John/Esq. Mauch, Robert Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita talking points. Privilege inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert, Greene, Karen; Zimmerman, Chris, Mays, Steve, Russell, Tiffany, Ball, Chuck; Bennett, Bruce; Lawlor, Michael; Fortien, Tracy; counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center. ABDCMDL_PRIV_6540 11/24/2016 Mauch, Robert Madsen, Greg Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq. Cambbell, Eli							Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is
Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Greene, Karen; Zimmerman, Chris, Mays, Steve; Russell, Triffany, Ball, Chuck; Bennett, Bruce; Lawlor, Michael; Terrien, Tracy; Counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center. ABDCMDL_PRIV_6540 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6545 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6546 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6546 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6546 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548 Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq. Campbell, Elizabeth/E	ABDCMDL PRIV 6539	11/7/2016 Mauch, Robert	Chou, John/Esa.	Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina: Norton, Rita			· · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Russell, Tiffany; Ball, Chuck; Bennett, Bruce; Lawlor, Michael, Erreine, Tracy, Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq. ABDCMDL_PRIV_6540 11/14/2016 Mauch, Robert Madsen, Greg Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq. ABDCMDL_PRIV_6545 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6545 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6546 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6546 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6546 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6549 AMAGEN, Robert AMAGEN, Robert AMAGEN, Greg Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq. AMAGEN, Greg Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq. AMAGEN, Greg Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq. AMAGEN, Robert AMAGEN, Greg Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq. AMAGEN, Robert AMAGEN, Greg Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq. AMAGEN, Robert	/ NS G (NS C N	11/1/2010 Maderly Nobelt	criou, romi, Esq.	industry noseric	dianty dinay recreatly fact	tuning points.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	inconsistent wy prior discovery runings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6540 11/14/2016 Mauch, Robert ABDCMDL_PRIV_6540 11/14/2016 Mauch, Robert ABDCMDL_PRIV_6540 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6545 11/21/2016 Mauch, Robert ABDCMDL_PRIV_6546 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6545 11/21/2016 Mauch, Robert ABDCMDL_PRIV_6546 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6549 ABDC		1			Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Greene, Karen;			
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6540 11/14/2016 Mauch, Robert Madsen, Greg Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq. Pszczolkowski, Andrew; Shute, Loma of distribution center. Privilege inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert, Greene, Karen; Zimmerman, Chris, Mays, Steve; Russell, Tiffany; Ball, Chuck; Miller, Mike; Wilson, Bill; Stavich, John; Neipp, Peter; Vilums, Brianne ABDCMDL_PRIV_6545 11/21/2016 Mauch, Robert Madsen, Greg Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq. Peter; Vilums, Brianne Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert, Greene, Karen; Zimmerman, Chris, Mays, Steve; Russell, Tiffany; Ball, Chuck; Bennett, Bruce; Lawlor, Michael; Maniaci, Phillip; Price, William; Taraschi, Anthony; Hinman, Julie of distribution center. ABDCMDL_PRIV_6546 11/29/2016 Mauch, Robert Madsen, Greg Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq. Phillip; Price, William; Taraschi, Anthony; Hinman, Julie of distribution center. ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 12/6/2016 Mauch, Robert Mauch, Rob								Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Greene, Karen; Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Russell, Tiffany; Ball, Chuck; Miller, Milson, Bill; Stavich, John; Neipp, Peter; Vilums, Brianne ABDCMDL_PRIV_6545 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6546 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6546 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6546 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6549 ABDCMDL_P					Chuck; Bennett, Bruce; Lawlor, Michael; Terrien, Tracy		Attorney-Client	business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is
Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Russell, Tiffany; Ball, Chuck; Miller, Mike; Wilson, Bill; Stavich, John; Neipp, Peter; Villums, Brianne ABDCMDL_PRIV_6545 11/21/2016 Mauch, Robert Madsen, Greg Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq. Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq. Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Greene, Karen; Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Russell, Tiffany; Ball, Chuck; Bennett, Bruce; Lawlor, Michael; Maniaci, Phillip; Price, William; Taraschi, Anthony; Hinnan, Julie ABDCMDL_PRIV_6546 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6549 ABDCMDL_PRIV_	ABDCMDL_PRIV_6540	11/14/2016 Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.		of distribution center.	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
Chuck; Miller, Mike; Wilson, Bill; Stavich, John; Neipp, Peter; Vilums, Brianne Counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center. Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Greene, Karen; Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Russell, Bruce; Lawlor, Michael; Maniaci, Philip; Price, William; Taraschi, Anthony; Hinman, Julie ABDCMDL_PRIV_6546 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6549 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6549 ABDC								
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6545								
Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Greene, Karen; Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Russell, Tiffany; Ball, Chuck; Bennett, Bruce; Lawlor, Michael; Maniaci, Philip; Price, William; Taraschi, Anthony; Hinman, Julie ABDCMDL_PRIV_6546 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6549 ABDCMDL_PRIV_654		44/04/05		0 1 11 515 1 11 75			-	
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6546 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6546 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6549 ABDCMDL_PRIV_	ABDCMDL_PRIV_6545	11/21/2016 Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Peter; Vilums, Brianne	of distribution center.	Privilege	inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6546 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6546 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6549 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6549 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6549 ABDCMDL_PRIV_					Collis Steve: Mauch Robert: Greene Karen:			
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6546 11/29/2016 Mauch, Robert Madsen, Greg Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq. Chuck; Bennett, Bruce; Lawlor, Michael; Maniaci, Philip; Price, William; Taraschi, Anthony; Hinman, Julie of distribution center. ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 Attorney-Client privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 Attorney-Client to in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center. Mauch, Robert Mauch, Robert Mauch, Robert; Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq.; Weissman, Guttman, Tim; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Chuck; Bennett, Bruce; Lawlor, Michael; Maniaci, counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center. Privilege Attorney-Client privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney-Client privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney-Client privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney-Client privilege does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Story of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney-Client privilege does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Story of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney-Client privilege does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Story of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney-Client privilege does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Story of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney-Client privilege does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Story of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ privilege does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Story of communication involves a business matter. Privilege does not						Email with attachments from client to in-house		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6546 11/29/2016 Mauch, Robert Madsen, Greg Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq. Philip; Price, William; Taraschi, Anthony; Hinman, Julie of distribution center. Email with attachments from client to in-house Counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center. Mauch, Robert Mauch, Robert Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq.; Weissman, Guttman, Tim; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Gutman, Tim; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Counsel seeking legal advice regarding controlled ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 Privilege inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 Abdress a through obes not appear to be directly involved in communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 Mauch, Robert 12/6/2016 Mauch, Robert Mauch, Robert Mauch, Robert Ball, Chuck distribution center. Mauch, Robert Ball, Chuck distribution center. Mauch, Robert Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq.; Counsel seeking legal advice regarding controlled Attorney-Client business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Attorney-Client Privilege inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.							Attorney-Client	
Email with attachments from client to in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) seeking legal Attorney-Client of communication. Su counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) seeking legal Attorney-Client of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsi of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsi advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center. Privilege w/ prior discovery rulings. Mauch, Robert; Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq.; Mauch, Robert; Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq.; Weissman, Guttman, Tim; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Email with attachments from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding controlled Attorney-Client business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/	ARDCMDI PRIV 6546	11/29/2016 Mauch Robert	Madsen Greg	Campbell Flizabeth/Fsg				
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 12/6/2016 Mauch, Robert Matchematics Matchem	7.555.152_7.107_0540	11/25/2010 Maden, Nobelt	ividuscii, oreg	Campben, Enzabeth, Esq.	p,ee, william, rarasem, Anchony, fillinan, Julie			Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547 12/6/2016 Mauch, Robert Mauch, Robert Ball, Chuck advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center. Privilege w/ prior discovery rulings. Mauch, Robert; Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq.; Weissman, Guttman, Tim; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Counsel seeking legal advice regarding controlled Attorney-Client business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/							Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
Mauch, Robert; Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq.; Weissman, Guttman, Tim; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Mauch, Robert; Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq.; Email with attachments from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding controlled Attorney-Client business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/	ABDCMDL PRIV 6547	12/6/2016 Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Ball, Chuck				
Weissman, Guttman, Tim; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; counsel seeking legal advice regarding controlled Attorney-Client business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/			.,			5 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Ĭ	, ,
				Mauch, Robert; Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq.;		Email with attachments from client to in-house		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
ARDCMDL PRIV 6548 1 12/9/2016 Mauch Robert Gabriel Norton Rita: 7 immerman Chris: May David Mover Lauren Substances media undate Privilege discovery rulings			Weissman,			counsel seeking legal advice regarding controlled	Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior
Triving and the property family, and property famil	ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548	12/9/2016 Mauch, Robert	Gabriel	Norton, Rita; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David	Moyer, Lauren	substances media update.	Privilege	discovery rulings.

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 168-4 Filed 02/21/20 Page 17 of 19 PageID #: 1495

			1	1			1	7
				Mauch, Robert; Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq.;		Email with attachments from client to in-house		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
			Weissman,	Guttman, Tim; Norton, Rita; Campbell,	Putt, Jordan; Romero, Lauren; DeAtley, Danny;	counsel seeking legal advice regarding WV	Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL PRIV 6554	12/22/2016	Mauch, Robert	Gabriel		Kemper, Jennifer; Moyer, Lauren; Murphy, Bennett	controlled substances media update.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Mauch, Robert; Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq.; Guttmar	,		. · · ·
					Tim; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris;			
					Putt, Jordan; Romero, Lauren; DeAtley, Danny;	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
					Kemper, Jennifer; Moyer, Lauren; Murphy, Bennett;	advice regarding WV controlled substances media	Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6555	12/23/2016	Mauch, Robert	May, David	Norton, Rita; Weissman, Gabriel	Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad	update.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
				Weissman, Gabriel; Mauch, Robert; Chou,	Guttman, Tim; Mattox, Keri; May, David; Moyer,	Email with attachments from client to in-house	Attorney-Client	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
	4/5/2047			John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Campbell,	Lauren; Meehan, Fallon; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie;	counsel seeking legal advice regarding draft WV Op-		business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6556	1/5/201/	Mauch, Robert	Zimmerman, Chris	Elizabeth/Esq.; Meluso, Ginette May, David; Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.;	Tallamy, Brad Guttman, Tim; Mattox, Keri; Zimmerman, Chris;	Email chain with attachments between client and in	Product Attorney-Client	discovery rulings. Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
			Weissman,	Clark, Gina; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Meluso,	Moyer, Lauren; Meehan, Fallon; Norton, Rita; Heller,	house counsel discussing legal advice regarding	Privilege; Work	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL PRIV 6560	1/6/2017	Mauch, Robert	Gabriel	Ginette	Stacie; Tallamy, Brad	draft WV Op-Ed.	Product	discovery rulings.
ABBCIVIDE_FRIV_0300	1/0/2017	iviaucii, Nobert	Gabrier	dilette	Statie, railatily, brau	Email chain between client and in-house counsel	rioduct	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
						(Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) discussing legal advice	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL PRIV 6568	1/12/2017	Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina	Mauch, Robert		regarding WV Op-Ed.	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
	, , ,	,	, , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			-0-	7,4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
				Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Campbell,		Email chain with attachments between client and in		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
			Weissman,	Elizabeth/Esq.; Pirouz, Korey; Norton, Rita;	Clark, Gina; Guttman, Tim; Moyer, Lauren; Murphy,	house counsel discussing legal advice regarding WV	Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6569	1/17/2017	Mauch, Robert	Gabriel	Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad	Bennett; Mattox, Keri; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David	controlled substances media update.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
					Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Campbell,			
					Elizabeth/Esq.; Pirouz, Korey; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy,			
					Brad; Clark, Gina; Guttman, Tim; Moyer, Lauren;	Email chain between client and in-house counsel		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
	4 /47 /0047				Murphy, Bennett; Mattox, Keri; Zimmerman, Chris;	discussing legal advice regarding WV controlled	Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6570	1/1//201/	Mauch, Robert	Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel	May, David Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Campbell,	substances media update.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
					Elizabeth/Esq.; Pirouz, Korey; Norton, Rita; Heller,			
					Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Guttman, Tim; Moyer, Lauren;	Email chain between client and in-house counsel		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
					Murphy, Bennett; Mattox, Keri; Zimmerman, Chris;	discussing legal advice regarding WV controlled	Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6571	1/18/2017	Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina	Weissman, Gabriel	May, David	substances media update.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
	, , ,	,	, , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Greene, Karen;		-0-	
					Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Russell, Tiffany; Ball,	Email with attachments from client to in-house		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
					Chuck; Bennett, Bruce; Rehovsky, Jeffery; Boyd, Roger	; counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit	Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6576	1/30/2017	Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Harrison, Andy; Ford, Stephanie	of distribution center.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
					Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Greene, Karen;			
					Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Russell, Tiffany; Frary			Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
	2/2/2247			0 1 11 51: 1 11 /5	James; Belden, Peter; Byrum, Stan; Marcum, Cathy;	counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit	Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6581	2/9/2017	Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Hackel, Sandy; Vilums, Brianne	of distribution center.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
					Elizabeth/Esq.; Pirouz, Korey; Norton, Rita; Heller,			
					Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Guttman, Tim; Moyer, Lauren;			
					Murphy, Bennett; Mattox, Keri; Zimmerman, Chris;	Email chain between client and in-house counsel		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
					May, David; DeAtley, Danny; Romero, Lauren; Putt,	discussing legal advice regarding WV controlled	Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6582	2/10/2017	Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina	Weissman, Gabriel	Jordan	substances media update.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
							_	
					Weissman, Gabriel; Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.;			
					Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Pirouz, Korey; Heller, Stacie;			
					Tallamy, Brad; Clark, Gina; Guttman, Tim; Moyer,	Email chain between client and in-house counsel		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
	2/42/2247				Lauren; Murphy, Bennett; Mattox, Keri; May, David;	discussing legal advice regarding WV media	Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6583	2/10/2017	Mauch, Robert	Norton, Rita	Zimmerman, Chris	DeAtley, Danny; Romero, Lauren; Putt, Jordan	relations.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
					Mauch, Robert; Bak, Hyung/Esq.; Biermaas, Claire;			
					Hendrickson, Stephen; Adams, Jenn; Lightfoot, Emily;			
					Massari, Nathaniel; Mullin, Tom; Nightengale, Brian;	Email chain between client and in-house counsel		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
					Odutola, Akin; Rafferty, George; Senior, David;	discussing legal advice regarding Board of Directors	Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL PRIV 6592	3/3/2017	Mauch, Robert	Winter, Matthew	Ball. Chuck	Tremonte, Richard; Webster, Jay; Meluso, Ginette	meeting.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
	-,-,	,	,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		-0-	, ,
				Mauch, Robert; Bak, Hyung/Esq.; Ball, Chuck;				
				Biermaas, Claire; Hendrickson, Stephen;				
				Adams, Jenn; Lightfoot, Emily; Massari,				
				Nathaniel; Mullin, Tom; Nightengale, Brian;		Email chain between client and in-house counsel		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
ABDCMDL PRIV 6593		Mauch, Robert	n	Odutola, Akin; Senior, David; Tremonte,		discussing legal advice regarding Board of Directors		business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior
			Rafferty, George	Richard; Webster, Jay; Winter, Matthew	Meluso, Ginette	meeting.	Privilege	discovery rulings.

				1			T		
					Chou, John/Esq.; Mauch, Robert; Guttman,				
					Tim; Mattox, Keri; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.;				
					Moyer, Lauren; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie;				
					Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie; Mitchell, Beth;		Email chain with attachments between client and in-		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
				Weissman,		DiCicco, Diana/Tierney; Hummel, Kristin/Tierney;	house counsel discussing legal advice regarding WV	Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL PRIV 6609	3/22/	/2017 M	Nauch, Robert	Gabriel		Dodson, Sydney/Tierney	controlled substances media update.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
			,					-0-	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
						Weissman, Gabriel; Clark, Gina; Campbell,	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal	Attorney-Client	of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6632	9/14/	/2017 M	Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Norton, Rita	Elizabeth/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris; Tallamy, Brad	advice regarding HDA Public Policy Committee.	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
					Chou, John/Esq.; Mauch, Robert; Gaddes,				
					Kathy; Clark, Gina; Guttman, Tim; Nightengale,				
					Brian; Howell, Peyton; Tremonte, Richard;				
					Horvath, Erin; Reed, Chuck; Bak, Hyung/Esq.;				
					Mattox, Keri; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Lorenz-				
					Fisher, Susan; Pirouz, Korey; Moyer, Lauren;				
					Gunning, Francesca; Norton, Rita; Heller,				
					Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie; Mitchell,				
					Beth; Webb, Matthew; Schultz, Andrew;				
					DeAtley, Danny; Moore, Karlene; Lane,				
					Christine; Meluso, Ginette; Savoca, Mia; Wall,				
					Kate; Romero, Lauren; Putt, Jordan;				
					Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Casalenuovo,				
					Christopher/Esq.; Nachman, Michael/Esq.;				
					Kabosius, Brian/Legal Department; Barrett,				
					Kristy; O'Brien, Adrianne; Dungee, Erin;		Email chain between client and in-house counsel		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
				Weissman,	Kondor, Kristen; Hope, Woody; Mullin, Tom;			Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6649	10/13/	/2017 M	Nauch, Robert	Gabriel	Rafferty, George		substances media update.	Privilege	discovery rulings.
					Mauch, Robert; Gaddes, Kathy; Guttman, Tim;				
					Nightengale, Brian; Howell, Peyton; Tremonte,				
					Richard; Horvath, Erin; Reed, Chuck; Bak,				
					Hyung/Esq.; Mattox, Keri; Campbell,				
					Elizabeth/Esq.; Lorenz-Fisher, Susan; Pirouz,				
					Korey; Moyer, Lauren; Gunning, Francesca;				
					Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad;				
					Eddy, Julie; Mitchell, Beth; Webb, Matthew;				
					Schultz, Andrew; DeAtley, Danny; Moore,				
					Karlene; Lane, Christine; Meluso, Ginette;				
					Savoca, Mia; Wall, Kate; Romero, Lauren;				
					Cupo, Jordan; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David;				
		1			Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; Nachman,				
		1			Michael/Esq.; Kabosius, Brian/Legal				
					Department; Barrett, Kristy; O'Brien,				
		1			Adrianne; Dungee, Erin; Kondor, Kristen;				
					Hope, Woody; Mullin, Tom; Greene, Karen;				
		1			Rafferty, George; Krikorian, Laz; Murphy,				
		1			Bennett; Biermaas, Claire; Webster, Jay;		Email chain between client and in-house counsel		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
		1		Weissman,	Winter, Matthew; Scheidt, Scott; Senior,			Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior
ABDCMDL PRIV 6744	12/17/	/2017 M	Mauch, Robert	Gabriel	David; Donati, David			Privilege	discovery rulings.
7.556.1.5E_11(1V_0744	12/17/	, 201, 10	nouch, nober	Capital	David, David		Substances incula apaate.		anscorery rannigor

Case 3:17-cv-01362 Document 168-4 Filed 02/21/20 Page 19 of 19 PageID #: 1497

ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810 ABDCMDL_0499696 ABDCMDL00375090 ABDCMDL00499696 ABDCMDL00499696 ABDCMDL00375090 ABDCMDL00499696 ABDCMDL00375090 ABDCMDL00499696 ABDCMDL00499696 ABDCMDL00499696 ABDCMDL00375090 ABDCMDL00499696 ABDCMDL00499					Collis, Steve, Clark, Gilla, Cilou, Johny Esq.,			
Richard; Horvath, Erin; Reed, Chruck; Bak, Hyung/Est, 2, Loren; Fisher, Susan; Pirouz, Korye; Espoak, Lauren; Guming, Francesca; Norton, Ritz, Heller, Stade; Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie, Mitchell, Beth; Vebb, Matthew; Schultz, Andrew, DeAley, Damny, Moore, Staffenz, Lauren, Cultis, Mitchell, Beth; Vebb, Matthew; Schultz, Andrew, DeAley, Damny, Moore, Staffenz, Lauren; Cupo, Jordan, Zimerman, Christisme, Mebbo, Matthew; Schultz, Andrew, DeAley, Damny, Moore, Staffenz, Lauren; Cupo, Jordan, Zimerman, Christisme, Mebbo, Matthew; Schultz, Andrew, DeAley, Damy, Mone, Staffenz, Lauren; Cupo, Jordan, Michael/Ess, Sabosius, Rindrikegal Department, Sarrett, Kristy, O'Brien, Addrant-Michael Department, Sarrett, Kristy, O'Brien, Addrant-Michael Department, Sarrett, Kristy, O'Brien, Hope, Woody, Mullin, Torm, Greene, Karen; Hope,					Mauch, Robert; Gaddes, Kathy; Guttman, Tim;			
Hywng/Esq. Matton, Kerl; Campbell, Eilzaebt/Ficsq. Lorent- Filber, Susan, Piroux, Korey; Esposto, Lauren, Guming, Francesca; Norton, Rist, Heller, Stace; Fallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie; Mitchell, Beth; Webb, Matthew; Schultz, Andrew; Deadley, Danny; Moore, Karlene, Lane, Christine; Meluso, Ginette; Savoca, Mis, Wall, Kate; Romero, Lauren; Cupo, Iorden, Zimmerman, Chris, May, David; Cupo, Iorden, Zimmerman, Chris, May, David; Casalenuov, Christopher/Esq.; Nachman, Michael/Esq.; Nabous, Branive, Esq. Michael/Esq.; Nabous, Branive, Esq. Michael/Esq.; Nabous, Branive, Esq. ABDCMDL_PRIV_6757 ABDCMDL00375090 1/30/2018 Mauch, Robert Gabriel ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810 ABDCMDL0049966 12/19/2008 AmerisourceBergen Paul Ross Chris Zimmerman, Edward Hazewski ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810 ABDCMDL0049966 12/19/2008 AmerisourceBergen Paul Ross Chris Zimmerman; Edward Hazewski ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810 ABDCMDL0049966 12/19/2008 AmerisourceBergen Paul Ross Chris Zimmerman; Edward Hazewski ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810 ABDCMDL0049966 12/19/2008 AmerisourceBergen Paul Ross Chris Zimmerman; Edward Hazewski ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810 ABDCMDL00499696 12/19/2008 AmerisourceBergen Paul Ross Chris Zimmerman; Edward Hazewski ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810 ABDCMDL00499696 12/19/2008 AmerisourceBergen Paul Ross Chris Zimmerman; Edward Hazewski					Nightengale, Brian; Howell, Peyton; Tremonte,			
Elizabeth/Esq.; Lorenz-Fisher, Susan, Priouz, Korey; Esposito, Laurer, Gunning, Francesa; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie; Mitchell, Beth; Webb, Matthew; Schultz, Andrew, DeAtley, Danny; Moore, Karlene; Lane, Christine; Mebuso, Ginette; Savoca, Mik; Wall, Kate, Bomero, Lauren; Cupo, Jordan; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Casalenouvo, Christopher; Regard, Esq.; Nachman, Michael/Esq.; Nabosius, Brian/Legal Department; Barrett, Kristy, Carlen, Adrianne; Dungee, Erin; Kondor, Kristen; Hope, Woody; Mullin, Tom; Greene, Karen; Rafferty, George, Krikorian, Laz; Murphy, Bennett; Biermass, Claire; Webster, Jay; Weissman, Weissman, Weissman, Weissman, Weissman, Weissman, ABDCMDL_PRIV_6757 ABDCMDL0375090 1/30/2018 Mauch, Robert Gabriel David; Donati, David ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810 ABDCMDL00499696 ABDCMDL00499696 ABDCMDL00499696 ABDCMDL00499696 L2/19/2008 AmerisourceBergen Paul Ross Chris Zimmerman; Edward Hazewski Davier Gross-Chris Zimmerman; Edward Hazewski Davier Gross-Chris Zimmerman; Edward Hazewski Davier Gross-Chris Zimmerman; Edward Hazewski					Richard; Horvath, Erin; Reed, Chuck; Bak,			
Korey, Esposito, Lauren; Gunning, Francesca; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie, Mitchell, Beth; Webb, Matthew; Schultz, Andrew; DeAtiey, Denny, Moore, Karlene; Lane, Christine, Meluso, Ginette; Savoca, Mia; Wall, Kate; Romero, Lauren; Cupo, Jordan; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq; Nachman, Michael/Esq; Kabosius, Brian/Legal Department; Barreat, Kristy, O'Brien, Adrianne; Dungee, Erin; Kondor, Kristen; Hope, Woody; Mullin, Tom; Greene, Karen; Rafferty, Goorge, Kristenia, Laz; Murphy, Randerty, George, Kristenia, Laz; Murphy, Radderte demail between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding controlled substances media update communication seeking and providing legal advice of counsel (Scut Johnson, Esp.) rega					Hyung/Esq.; Mattox, Keri; Campbell,			
Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie; Nitchell, Beth; Webb, Matthew Schultz, Andrew; DeAtley, Danny; Moore, Karlene; Lane, Christine; Meluso, Ginette; Sacyaca, Mia; Wall, Sket Romero, Louren; Cupo, Jordan; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; Nabosius, Brian/Legal Department, Barrett, Kristy, O'Brien, Adrianne; Dungee, Erin; Kondor, Kristen; Hope, Woody; Mullin, Tom; Greene, Karene, Rafferty, George, Krikorian, Laz; Murphy, Bennett, Biermaas, Claire; Webster, Jay; Weissman, Weissman, Weissman, Weissman, Weissman, Weissman, Mirke, Mathews, Bennett, Biermaas, Claire; Webster, Jay; Counsel discussing legal advice regarding controlled substances media update. ABDCMDL_PRIV_6757 ABDCMDL0375090 1/30/2018 Mauch, Robert Gabriel David; Donati, David Communication seeking and providing legal advice of communication seeking and providing legal advice of communications, Esq.) regarding due discovery rulings. ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810 ABDCMDL0499696 12/19/2008 AmerisourceBergen Paul Ross Chris Zimmerman; Edward Hazewski parent.					Elizabeth/Esq.; Lorenz-Fisher, Susan; Pirouz,			
Eddy, Julie, Mitchell, Beth; Webb, Matthew; Schultz, Andrew; DeAtley, Danny; Moore, Karlene, Lane, Christine; Meluo, Ginette; Savoca, Mia; Wall, Kate; Romero, Lauren; Cupp, Jordan; Joshabus, Grinni, Hegal Department; Barrett, Kristy; O'Brien, Adrianne; Dungee, Erin; Kondor, Kristen; Hope, Woody; Mullin, Tom; Greene, Karen; Rafferty, George, Krikorian, Laz; Murphy, Bennett, Biermans, Claire; Webster, Jay; Welssman, Winter, Matthew; Scheidt, Scott; Senior, David; Casalenuova, Christopher/Esq.; Nachman, Michae/Esq.; Kabobius, Brianly, Hegal Department; Barrett, Kristy; O'Brien, Adrianne; Dungee, Erin; Kondor, Kristen; Hope, Woody; Mullin, Tom; Greene, Karen; Rafferty, George, Krikorian, Laz; Murphy, Bennett, Biermans, Claire; Webster, Jay; Counsel discussing legal advice regarding controlled substances media update. Reducted email among clients rellecting communication seeking and providing legal advice of counsel. (Scott) Ghosson, Esq.) regarding due of communication seeking and providing legal advice of counsel. (Scott) Ghosson, Esq.) regarding due of communication seeking and providing legal advice of counsel. (Scott) Ghosson, Esq.) regarding due of communication seeking and providing legal advice of counsel. (Scott) Ghosson, Esq.) regarding due of communication involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is incommunication involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is incommunication involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege of prospective client with a Canadian parent. Attorney-Client of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim sincommunication involves a business matter. Privilege of prospective client with a Canadian parent.					Korey; Esposito, Lauren; Gunning, Francesca;			
Schultz, Andrew; DeAtley, Danny; Moore, Karlene; Lane, Christine; Meluso, Ginette; Savoca, Mily, Wall, Kate, Romero, Lauren; Cupo, Jordan; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esta; Nachman, Michael/Esq.; Kabosius, Brian/Legal Department, Barrett, Kristy; O'Brien, Adrianne, Dungee, Ernis, Kondor, Kristen; Hope, Woody; Mullin, Tom; Greene, Karen; Rafferty, George; Krikorian, Laz; Murphy, Bennett; Biermas, Claire; Webster, Jay; Weissman, Winter, Matthew; Scheidt, Scott; Senior, David; Doard; David ABDCMDL_PRIV_6757 ABDCMDL00375090 1/30/2018 Mauch, Robert Schultz, Andrew; DeAtley, Danny; Moore, Karlene; Lane, Christine; Meluso, Ginette; Savoca, Mila, Wall, Kate, Roborus, Cusalenuovo, Christopher/Esta; Nachman, Michael/Esq.; Kabosius, Brian/Legal Department, Barrett, Kristy; O'Brien, Addrianne, Dungee, Ernis, Kondor, Kristen; Hope, Woody; Mullin, Tom; Greene, Karen; Rafferty, George; Krikorian, Laz; Murphy, Bennett; Biermas, Claire; Webster, Jay; Weissman, Winter, Matthew; Scheidt, Scott; Senior, David; Donati, David Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Attorney-Client Attorney-Client Attorney-Client Attorney-Client Of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inc diignee of prospective client with a Canadian parent. ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810 ABDCMDL_0499696 12/19/2008 AmerisourceBergen Paul Ross Chris Zimmerman; Edward Hazewski Privilege					Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad;			
Karlene; Lane, Christine; Meluso, Ginette; Savoca, Mia; Wall, Kate; Romero, Lauren; Cupo, Jordan; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq; Nachman, Michae/Esq; Kabosuba, Brian/Legal Department; Barrett, Kristy; O'Brien, Adrianne; Dungee, Erin; Kondor, Kristen; Hope, Woody; Mullin, Tom; Greene, Karen; Rafferty, George; Kristorian, Laz; Murphy, Bennett; Biermasa, Claire; Webster, Jay; Welssman, Winter, Matthew; Scheidt, Scott; Senior, David; Donati, David Mauch, Robert ABDCMDL_PRIV_6757 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810 ABDCMDL00499696 12/19/2008 AmerisourceBergen Paul Ross Chris Zimmerman, Edward Hazewski Arlenet; Melusc, Ginette; Savoca, Mia; Wall, Kate; Romero, Lauren; Cupo, Jordan; Jimmerman, Christ May, David; Casalenuovo, Christine; Meluso, Ginette; Savoca, Mia; Wall, Kate; Romero, Lauren; Cupo, Jordan; Jimmerman, Christopher/Esq. Nachman, Michae/Esq. Nachman, Micha					Eddy, Julie; Mitchell, Beth; Webb, Matthew;			
Savoca, Mia; Wall, Kate; Romero, Lauren; Cupo, Jordan; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; Nachman, Michael/Esq.; Kabosius, Brian/Legal Department; Barrett, Kristy; O'Brien, Adrianne; Dungee, Erin; Kondor, Kristen; Hope, Woody; Mullin, Tom; Greene, Karen; Rafferty, George; Kristorian, Laz; Kivistorian, Ki					Schultz, Andrew; DeAtley, Danny; Moore,			
Cupo, Jordan; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; Nachman, Michael/Esq.; Kabosus, Briand, Legal Department; Barrett, Kristy; O'Brien, Adrianne; Dungee, Erin; Kondor, Kristen; Hope, Woody; Mullin, Tom; Geroee, Karen; Rafferty, George; Krikorian, Laz; Murphy, Bennett; Biermaas, Claire; Webster, Jay; Weissman, Mitner, Matthew; Scheidt, Scott; Senior, Gabriel ABDCMDL_PRIV_6757 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810 ABDCMDL00375090 1/30/2018 Mauch, Robert ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810 ABDCMDL0049696 12/19/2008 AmerisourceBergen Paul Ross Cupo, Jordan; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; Nachman, Michael/Esq.; Nachma					Karlene; Lane, Christine; Meluso, Ginette;			
Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; Nachman, Michael/Esq.; Nachosius, Brian/Legal Department; Barrett, Kristy; O'Brien, Adrianne; Dungee, Erin; Kondor, Kristen; Hope, Woody; Mullin, Tom; Greene, Karen; Rafferty, George; Krikorian, Laz; Murphy, Bennett; Biermask, Claire; Webster, Jay; Weissman, Winter, Matthew; Scheidt, Scott; Senior, Weissman, Winter, Matthew; Scheidt, Scott; Senior, Gabriel David; Donati, David Redacted email between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding controlled substances media update. Redacted email between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding controlled substances media update. Privilege discovery rulings. ABDCMDL_PRIV_6757 ABDCMDL00375090 1/30/2018 Mauch, Robert Gabriel David; Donati, David Substances media update. Redacted email between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding controlled substances media update. Privilege discovery rulings. Redacted email between client and in-house counsel discovering end providing legal advice of counsel (Scott Johnson, Esq.) regarding due diligence of prospective client with a Canadian Attorney-Client Privilege of prospective client with a Canadian Pri					Savoca, Mia; Wall, Kate; Romero, Lauren;			
Michael/Esq.; Kabosius, Brian/Legal Department; Barrett, Kristy; O'Brien, Adrianne; Dungee, Erin; Kondor, Kristen; Hope, Woody, Mullin, Tom; Greene, Karen; Rafferty, George; Krikorian, Laz; Murphy, Bennett; Biermaas, Claire; Webster, Jay; Weissman, Witter, Matthews, Scheidt, Scott; Senior, David; Donati, David ABDCMDL_PRIV_6757 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810 ABDCMDLO0499696 12/19/2008 AmerisourceBergen Michael/Esq.; Kabosius, Brian/Legal Department; Barrett, Kristy; O'Brien, Adrianne; Dungee, Erin; Kondor, Kristen; Hope, Woody, Mullin, Tom; Greene, Karen; Rafferty, George; Krikorian, Laz; Murphy, Bennett; Biermaas, Claire; Webster, Jay; Weissman, Winter, Matthews, Scheidt, Scott; Senior, David; Donati, David ABDCMDL_PRIV_6757 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810 ABDCM					Cupo, Jordan; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David;			
Department; Barrett, Kristy; O'Brien, Adrianne; Dungee, Erin; Kondor, Kristen; Hope, Woody; Mullin, Tom; Greene, Karen; Rafferty, George; Krikorian, Laz; Murphy, Bennett; Biermaas, Claire; Webster, Jay; Weissman, Winter, Matthew; Scheidt, Scott; Senior, Department; Barrett, Kristy; O'Brien, Adrianne; Dungee, Erin; Kondor, Kristen; Hope, Woody; Mullin, Tom; Greene, Karen; Rafferty, George; Krikorian, Laz; Murphy, Bennett; Biermaas, Claire; Webster, Jay; Weissman, Winter, Matthew; Scheidt, Scott; Senior, Gabriel David; Donati, David ABDCMDL_PRIV_6757 ABDCMDL_0375090 1/30/2018 Mauch, Robert ABDCMDL_0375090 1/30/2018 Mauch, Robert ABDCMDL_0499696 1/2/19/2008 AmerisourceBergen Paul Ross Chris Zimmerman; Edward Hazewski Department; Barrett, Kristy; O'Brien, Adrianne; Dungee, Erin; Kondor, Kristen; Hope, Woody; Mullin, Tom; Greene, Karen; Rafferty, George; Krikorian, Laz; Murphy, Bennett; Bierneas, Claire; Berien; Hope, Woody; Mullin, Tom; Greene, Karen; Rafferty, George; Krikorian, Laz; Murphy, Bennett; Bierneas, Claire; Berien; Hope, Woody; Mullin, Tom; Greene, Karen; Rafferty, George; Krikorian, Laz; Murphy, Bennett; Bierneas, Claire; Webster, Jay; Counsel discussing legal advice regarding controlled Attorney-Client of communication involves a business matter. Privilege cla Attorney-Client of communication involves a business matter. Privilege cla Attorney-Client of communication involves a business matter. Privilege w// prior discovery rulings.					Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; Nachman,			
Adrianne; Dungee, Erin; Kondor, Kristen; Hope, Woody; Mullin, Tom; Greene, Karen; Rafferty, George; Krikorian, Laz; Murphy, Bennett; Biermaas, Claire; Webster, Jay; Weissman, Winter, Matthew; Scheidt, Scott; Senior, David; Donati, David ABDCMDL_PRIV_6757 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810 ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810 ABDCMDL_0499696 Adrianne; Dungee, Erin; Kondor, Kristen; Hope, Woody; Mullin, Tom; Greene, Karen; Rafferty, George; Krikorian, Laz; Murphy, Bennett; Biermaas, Claire; Webster, Jay; Weissman, Winter, Matthew; Scheidt, Scott; Senior, David; Donati, David ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810 ABDCMDL_0499696 Adrianne; Dungee, Erin; Kondor, Kristen; Hope, Woody; Mullin, Tom; Greene, Karen; Rafferty, George; Krikorian, Laz; Murphy, Bennett; Biermaas, Claire; Webster, Jay; Counsel discussing legal advice regarding controlled Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication involves a business matter. Privilege cla discovery rulings. Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication involves a business matter. Privilege cla matter and not a legal one. Privilege cla mis incommunication seeking and providing legal advice of counsel (Scott Johnson, Esq.) regarding due diligence of prospective client with a Canadian Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege Attorney-Client Privilege cla mis incommunication involves a business matter. Privilege cla mi					Michael/Esq.; Kabosius, Brian/Legal			
Hope, Woody; Mullin, Tom; Greene, Karen; Rafferty, George; Krikorian, Laz; Murphy, Bennett; Biermass, Claire; Webster, Jay; Weissman, Winter, Matthew; Scheidt, Scott; Senior, David Substances media update. ABDCMDL_PRIV_6757 ABDCMDL00375090 1/30/2018 Mauch, Robert Gabriel David; Donati, David Redacted email among clients reflecting communication seeking and providing legal advice of counsel (Scott Johnson, Esq.) regarding due diligence of prospective client with a Canadian Attorney-Client of communication involves a business matter. Privilege clams and providing legal advice of communication involves a business matter. Privilege clams in the communication involves a business matter. Privilege clams in the communication involves a business matter. Privilege clams in the communication involves a business matter. Privilege clams in the communication involves a business matter. Privilege clams in the communication involves a business matter. Privilege clams in the communication involves a business matter. Privilege clams in the communication involves a business matter. Privilege clams in the communication involves a business matter. Privilege clams in the communication involves a business matter. Privilege clams in the communication involves a business matter. Privilege clams in the communication involves a business matter. Privilege clams in the communication involves a business matter. Privilege clams in the communication involves a business matter. Privilege clams in the communication involves a business matter. Privilege clams in the communication involves a business matter. Privilege clams in the communication involves a business matter. Privilege clams in the communication involves a business matter. Privilege clams in the communication involves a business matter. Privilege clams in the communication involves a business matter. Privilege clams in the communication involves a business matter. Privilege clams in the communication involves a business matter. Privilege clams in the communication involves a busine					Department; Barrett, Kristy; O'Brien,			
Rafferty, George; Krikorian, Laz; Murphy, Bennett; Biermas, Claire; Webster, Jay; Weissman, Winter, Matthew; Scheidt, Scott; Senior, David Substances media update. ABDCMDL_PRIV_6757 ABDCMDL00375090 1/30/2018 Mauch, Robert Gabriel David; Donati, David Redacted email between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding controlled substances media update. Privilege does not apply. Substances medi					Adrianne; Dungee, Erin; Kondor, Kristen;			
Bennett; Biermaas, Claire; Webster, Jay; Winter, Matthew; Scheidt, Scott; Senior, ABDCMDL_PRIV_6757 ABDCMDL_0757 ABDCMDL_0758 ABDCMDL_07590 AB					Hope, Woody; Mullin, Tom; Greene, Karen;			
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6757 ABDCMDL00375090 1/30/2018 Mauch, Robert Gabriel Weissman, Gabriel Weissman, David; Donati, David Counsel discussing legal advice regarding controlled Substances media update. Privilege discovery rulings. Redacted email among clients reflecting communication seeking and providing legal advice of counsel (Scott Johnson, Esq.) regarding due diligence of prospective client with a Canadian Attorney-Client of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is incommunication seeking and providing legal advice of counsel (Scott Johnson, Esq.) regarding due diligence of prospective client with a Canadian Attorney-Client Privilege Claim is incommunication seeking and providing legal advice of counsel (Scott Johnson, Esq.) regarding due diligence of prospective client with a Canadian Privilege Claim is incommunication seeking and providing legal advice of counsel (Scott Johnson, Esq.) regarding controlled Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is incommunication seeking and providing legal advice regarding controlled Attorney-Client Privilege Claim is incommunication seeking and providing legal advice of counsel (Scott Johnson, Esq.) regarding controlled Attorney-Client of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is incommunication substances media update. Altorney-Client Privilege Claim is incommunication seeking and providing legal advice of counsel (Scott Johnson, Esq.) regarding due discovery rulings.					Rafferty, George; Krikorian, Laz; Murphy,			
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6757 ABDCMDL00375090 1/30/2018 Mauch, Robert Gabriel David; Donati, David substances media update. Privilege discovery rulings. Redacted email among clients reflecting communication seeking and providing legal advice of counsel (Scott Johnson, Esq.) regarding due diligence of prospective client with a Canadian Attorney-Client of communication involves a business matter. Privilege cla ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810 ABDCMDL_00499696 12/19/2008 AmerisourceBergen Paul Ross Chris Zimmerman; Edward Hazewski parent. Privilege Wy/prior discovery rulings.					Bennett; Biermaas, Claire; Webster, Jay;	Redacted email between client and in-house		Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a
Redacted email among clients reflecting communication seeking and providing legal advice of counsel (Scott Johnson, Esq.) regarding due diligence of prospective client with a Canadian Attorney-Client parent. ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810 ABDCMDL00499696 12/19/2008 AmerisourceBergen Paul Ross Chris Zimmerman; Edward Hazewski Privilege W/ prior discovery rulings.				Weissman,	Winter, Matthew; Scheidt, Scott; Senior,	counsel discussing legal advice regarding controlle	Attorney-Client	business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior
communication seeking and providing legal advice of counsel (Scott Johnson, Esq.) regarding due diligence of prospective client with a Canadian Attorney-Client parent. ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810 ABDCMDL00499696 12/19/2008 AmerisourceBergen Paul Ross Chris Zimmerman; Edward Hazewski ecommunication involves a business matter. Privilege cla	DCMDL_PRIV_6757	ABDCMDL00375090 1/30/2018 Mauch, Robert	DCMDL_PRIV_6757	Gabriel	David; Donati, David		Privilege	discovery rulings.
of counsel (Scott Johnson, Esq.) regarding due diligence of prospective client with a Canadian ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810 ABDCMDL00499696 12/19/2008 AmerisourceBergen Paul Ross Chris Zimmerman; Edward Hazewski of communication involves a business matter. Privilege cla								
diligence of prospective client with a Canadian Attorney-Client Of communication involves a business matter. Privilege cla ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810 ABDCMDL00499696 12/19/2008 AmerisourceBergen Paul Ross Chris Zimmerman; Edward Hazewski diligence of prospective client with a Canadian Privilege Cla Wy prior discovery rulings.								
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810 ABDCMDL00499696 12/19/2008 AmerisourceBergen Paul Ross Chris Zimmerman; Edward Hazewski parent. Privilege w/ prior discovery rulings.							1.	
								of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
	3DCMDL_PRIV_6810	ABDCMDL00499696 12/19/2008 AmerisourceBergen	BDCMDL_PRIV_6810	Paul Ross	Chris Zimmerman; Edward Hazewski	F	Privilege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
						Redacted email among clients reflecting	,	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject
ABDCMDL PRIV 6817 ABDCMDL00500660 8/11/2004 AmerisourceBergen Steve Mays Eric Cherveney Greg Madsen advice of counsel (Debbie Swartz, Esq.) regarding advice of counsel (Debbie Swartz, Esq.) regarding DEA inspection at Corona Distribution Center. Privilege W/ prior discovery rulings.	DOCMDL BRIV 6917	A DDC MDI 00500000	DOMDI DDIV 6017	Ctava Massa	Esia Chamanan			of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent
ABD_MDL_PKIV_0817 ABD_MDL00300600 8717/2004 Amerisourcebergen Steve Mays Enc cherveney Greg Mausen DEA inspection at Corona Distribution Center. Privilege Wy prior uscovery runings. Reducted document reflecting legal advice of Reducted document reflecting legal advice of Privilege Wy prior uscovery runings.	SDCMDL_PRIV_6817	ABDCMDL00500000 8/11/2004 AmerisourceBergen	DCMDL_PRIV_081/	Steve Mays	Eric Cherveney Greg Madsen		rnvnege	w/ prior discovery rulings.
							Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege
ABDCMDL PRIV 6826 ABDCMDL00500874 12/19/2007 AmerisourceBergen order.	RDCMDL PRIV 6826	A RDCMDI 00500874 12/19/2007 A merisource Berger	RDCMDI PRIV 6826					
ABDEMDL RV 0520 ABDEMDL0000074 12/19/2007 Miletissure Deligion (1997) ABDEMDL Redacted document reflecting legal advice of Redacted document reflecting lega	Denie India	IDDENIDESSSSSS 14 12/17/2007 Interisource Dergen	DD 0.1.DD_1 R1 + _0020	 			viiege	outilities incompletely prior discovery runnings.
						8 8	Attorney-Client	Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege
ABDCMDL PRIV 6840 ABDCMDL00501139 12/21/2007 AmerisourceBergen investigation of Sunrise Wholesale. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	3DCMDL PRIV 6840	ABDCMDL00501139 12/21/2007 AmerisourceBergen	BDCMDL PRIV 6840					
								Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege
no privilege id ABDCMDL00369324 10/10/2017 AmerisourceBergen Attached "Privileged" claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.	privilege id	ABDCMDL00369324 10/10/2017 AmerisourceBergen	privilege id			Attached	"Privileged"	claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.